KIDNEY ADVISORY GROUP

MINIMUM RESOLUTION FOR REPORTING DONOR AND RECIPIENT HLA TYPES

A minimum resolution for reporting donor and patient HLA types was introduced to coincide with the implementation of the 2006 National Kidney Allocation Scheme (**Table 1**).

Compliance with the minimum requirements is most important for deceased donors because of the impact on allocation. The donor HLA type is used to perform a virtual crossmatch for sensitised patients and incomplete information could lead to inappropriate allocation. Compliance with the minimum resolution is also important for living donors listed in the paired/pooled donation scheme.

Compliance with the repertoire is monitored and if a non-complaint type is received, the laboratory is contacted by the ODT Scientific Support staff. Compliance data have been routinely reported as an annual report to the KAG and communicated to Directors of H&I laboratories and Transplant Units.

High levels of compliance are achieved. Overall compliance for the period April 2016- March 2017 was 99.8% for deceased donor HLA types, 100% for living donor HLA types and 98% for recipient HLA types (**Table 2**).

Action

Compliance with the reporting requirements for HLA types will continue to be monitored and laboratories contacted if non-compliant types are received. An annual report will be presented to the Advisory Groups and communicated to the Directors of H & I laboratories and Transplant Units.

Prof Susan Fuggle Scientific Advisor

May 2017

Compliance with Minimum HLA Typing Resolution 1st April 2016- 31st March 2017

| Laboratory | Recipients | | Living Donors | | Deceased Donors | |
|--------------|------------|-------------------|---------------|------|-----------------|---------------------|
| | n= | % | n= | % | n= | % |
| Belfast | 117 | 100% | 115 | 100% | 55 | 100% |
| Bristol | 109 | 100% | 22 | 100% | 90 | 99%* ² |
| Birmingham | 240 | 100% | 88 | 100% | 153 | 100% |
| Cambridge | 194 | 100% | 43 | 100% | 137 | 100% |
| Cardiff | 124 | 100% | 38 | 100% | 68 | 99%* ² |
| Edinburgh | 117 | 100% | 44 | 100% | 89 | 100% |
| Glasgow | 174 | 100% | 45 | 100% | 82 | 100% |
| Guys | 318 | 100% | 119 | 100% | 115 | 100% |
| Hammersmith | 214 | 100% | 48 | 100% | 78 | 100% |
| Leeds | 163 | 100% | 40 | 100% | 112 | 100% |
| Leicester | 83 | 100% | 30 | 100% | 41 | 100% |
| Liverpool | 98 | 64%* ¹ | 50 | 100% | 96 | 100% |
| Manchester | 306 | 100% | 97 | 100% | 162 | 100% |
| Newcastle | 192 | 100% | 71 | 100% | 116 | 100% |
| Oxford | 222 | 100% | 78 | 100% | 90 | 100% |
| Plymouth | 61 | 100% | 24 | 100% | 53 | 100% |
| Royal Free | 128 | 100% | 39 | 100% | 39 | 97%* ³ |
| Royal London | 158 | 100% | 45 | 100% | 89 | 98%* ^{2,3} |
| Sheffield | 166 | 100% | 36 | 100% | 89 | 100% |
| Tooting | 183 | 100% | 66 | 100% | 218 | 100% |
| Total | 3367 | 98% | 1138 | 100% | 1972 | 99.8% |

^{*1} HLA-C/DR51/52/53/DQ not reported *2 DR53N not identified *3 DR3 not split

Table 2