



Detailed Full Report Actual and Potential Organ Donors 1 April 2015 - 31 March 2016

South East
Organ Donation Services Team



Table of Contents

1. Donor outcomes

1.1 Donors outcomes

2. Key rates on potential for organ donation

- 2.1 Key rates
- 2.2 Key numbers, rates and comparison with national targets

3. Stages where opportunities were lost

- 3.1 Overview of lost opportunities
- 3.2 Neurological death testing
- 3.3 Referral to SN-OD
- 3.4 Contraindications
- 3.5 Family approach
- 3.6 Proportion of approaches involving a SN-OD
- 3.7 Consent
- 3.8 Reasons why solid organ donation did not occur

4. PDA data by Trust

4.1 Key numbers and rates by Trust

5. Paediatric ICU data

5.1 Radar charts of key rates for your Team's paediatric ICUs

Appendices

- A.1 Bar charts of key rates
- A.2 Definitions
- A.3 Data description
- A.4 Table and figure description

Further Information

- Appendix A.2 contains definitions of terms and abbreviations used throughout this report and summarises the main changes made to the PDA on 1 April 2013.
- The latest Organ Donation and Transplantation Activity Report is available at https://www.organdonation.nhs.uk/supporting-my-decision/statistics-about-organ-donation/transplant-activity-report/
- The latest PDA Annual Report is available at http://www.odt.nhs.uk/odt/potential-donor-audit/
- Please refer any queries or requests for further information to your local Specialist Nurse Organ Donation (SN-OD)

Source

NHS Blood and Transplant: UK Transplant Registry (UKTR), Potential Donor Audit (PDA) and Referral Record. Issued May 2016 based on data reported at 9 May 2016.



1. Donor Outcomes

A summary of the number of donors, patients transplanted, average number of organs donated per donor and organs donated, obtained from the UK Transplant Registry


1.1 Donor outcomes

Between 1 April 2015 and 31 March 2016, the South East team had 152 deceased solid organ donors, resulting in 359 patients receiving a transplant. 489 organs were donated but 90 were not transplanted. Additional information is shown in Tables 1.1.1 and 1.1.2, along with comparison data for 2014/15. An additional chart showing figures for the previous ten periods has also been included for comparison. If you would like further information, please contact your local Specialist Nurse - Organ Donation (SN-OD).

Table 1.1.1 Donors, patients transplanted and organs per donor, 1 April 2015 - 31 March 2016 (1 April 2014 - 31 March 2015 for comparison)											
Donor type	Number of donors	Number of patients transplanted	Average number of organs donated per donor South East UK								
DBD DCD DBD and DCD	94 (93) 58 (48) 152 (141)	262 (251) 97 (89) 359 (340)	3.7 (3.5) 3.9 (3.8) 2.5 (2.6) 2.8 (2.7) 3.2 (3.2) 3.4 (3.4)								

Table 1.1.2 Organs transplanted by type, 1 April 2015 - 31 March 2016 (1 April 2014 - 31 March 2015 for comparison) Number of organs transplanted by type											
Donor type	Kidney	Nι Pancreas	Small bowel								
DBD DCD DBD and DCD	151 (146 84 (80 235 (226	ý 2 (1)	66 (76) 14 (11) 80 (87)	17 (10) 2 (0) 19 (10)	40 (36) 2 (9) 42 (45)	1 (0) 0 (9) 1 (9)					

Figure 1.1.1 Number of donors and patients transplanted each year



Data in this section have been obtained from the UK Transplant Registry. Section 2 onwards reports on data obtained from the national Potential Donor Audit (PDA).



2. Key Rates on

Potential for Organ Donation

A summary of the key rates on the potential for organ donation, obtained from the national Potential Donor Audit (PDA)

2.1 Key rates

Two radar charts are displayed in Figure 2.1.1 showing specific percentage measures of potential donation activity in 2015/16 for the South East Team compared with national data for the UK, and compared with 2014/15 activity. This information is displayed in an alternative format as bar charts in Appendix A.1. The colour of the rate label indicates the Team performance as shown in the appropriate funnel plot using the gold, silver, bronze, amber, and red (GoSBAR) scheme.

Figure 2.1.1 Key rates on the potential for organ donation, 1 April 2015 - 31 March 2016 (1 April 2014 - 31 March 2015 for comparison)





Figure 2.1.2 Key rates on the potential for organ donation, 1 April 2013 - 31 March 2016





2.2 Key numbers, rates and comparison with national targets

The percentages shown in Figure 2.1.1 are also shown in Table 2.2.1 along with the number of patients at each stage. A national comparison and a time period comparison are again provided. A comparison against national DBD and DCD targets has been applied by highlighting the key rates for your Team as gold, silver, bronze, amber, or red. See Appendix A.4 for ranges used. Note that caution should be applied when interpreting percentages based on small numbers.

Table 2.2.1 Key numbers, rates and comparison with national targets,
1 April 2015 - 31 March 2016 (1 April 2014 - 31 March 2015 for comparison)

		2015/16	DBD	2014	/15		2015/16	DCD	2014/1	5
	Target	South East	UK	South East	UK	Target	South East	UK	South East	UK
Patients meeting organ donation referral criteria¹		207	1,742	187	1,734		653	6,502	595	6,755
Referred to SN-OD Referral rate %	96%	199 B 96%	1,679 96%	183 98%	1,671 96%	79%	549 B 84%	5,399 83%	434 73%	5,154 76%
Neurological death tested Testing rate %	82%	176 B 85%	1,472 85%	156 83%	1,445 83%					
Eligible donors²		173	1,399	150	1,373		399	4,204	345	4,284
Family approached Approach rate %	94%	155 B 90%	1,293 92%	146 97%	1,284 94%	47%	195 B 49%	1,941 <i>4</i> 6%	171 50%	2,018 <i>47%</i>
Family approached and SN-OD involved % of approaches where SN-OD involved	87%	145 B 94%	1,177 91%	142 97%	1,113 87%	75%	159 B 82%	1,511 78%	148 87%	1,459 72%
Consent given Consent rate %	73%	101 B 65%	888 69%	105 72%	859 67%	59%	118 <i>B</i> 61%	1,112 <i>57%</i>	109 <i>64%</i>	1,046 52%
Expected consents based on ethnic mix Expected consent rate based on ethnic mix %		106 69%		94 66%			112 59%		90 53%	
Actual donors from each pathway % of consented donors that became actual donors		93 92%	784 88%	94 90%	780 91%		57 48%	566 51%	47 43%	493 47%
Colour key - comparison with funnel plot confidence limits		G GoldA Amber		S Silver R Red			B Bronze			

¹ DBD - A patient with suspected neurological death

Note that from 1 April 2015 to 31 March 2016 there was 1 eligible DBD donor and 8 eligible DCD donors whose family consented to donation who are not included in this section because they were either over 80 years of age or did not die in a unit participating in the PDA.

DCD - A patient in whom imminent death is anticipated, ie a patient receiving assisted ventilation, a clinical decision to withdraw treatment has been made and death is anticipated within 4 hours

² DBD - Death confirmed by neurological tests and no absolute contraindications to solid organ donation

DCD - Imminent death anticipated and treatment withdrawn with no absolute contraindications to solid organ donation



3. Stages WhereOpportunities were Lost


Stages at which potential donors lost the opportunity to become actual donors

3.1 Overview of lost opportunities

Of the 207 potential DBD donors with suspected neurological death, 93 proceeded to donation and 114 did not proceed. Of the 399 eligible DCD donors, 57 proceeded to donation and 342 did not proceed.

Figure 3.1.1 gives an overview of the various stages where opportunities were lost. There are four charts showing DBD and DCD stages separately for the South East team and the UK, all of which contain a comparison with 2014/15. The number of potential donors is shown on the vertical axis for each chart and at each 'step' the proportion of potential donors lost at that stage is displayed. Caution should be applied when interpreting percentages based on small numbers. Further information is available for individual Trusts in Tables 4.1.1 and 4.1.2 in Section 4.

Figure 3.1.1 Stages at which potential donors lost the opportunity to become actual donors, 1 April 2015 - 31 March 2016 (1 April 2014 - 31 March 2015 for comparison)





3.2 Neurological death testing

A funnel plot of neurological death testing rates is displayed in Figure 3.2.1. The national target for 2015/16 of 82% is also shown on the funnel plot, for information, but the goal is to ensure that neurological death tests are performed wherever possible. For information about how to interpret the funnel plots, please see Appendix A.4.

Figure 3.2.1 Funnel plot of neurological death testing rates, 1 April 2015 - 31 March 2016




Table 3.2.1 shows the reasons why neurological death tests were not performed, if applicable, for your Team. Patients for whom the reason for not performing neurolgical tests is given as 'cardiac arrest despite resuscitation', 'brainstem reflexes returned', or 'neonates - less than 2 months post term' are now excluded from the calculation of the neurological death testing rate.

Table 3.2.1 Reasons given for neurological death tests not b	eing performe	d,
	N	%
Family declined donation Family pressure not to test Treatment withdrawn Patient haemodynamically unstable Continuing effects of sedatives Biochemical/endocrine abnormality Clinical reason/Clinicians decision SN-OD advised that donor not suitable Medical contraindication to donation Patient had previously expressed a wish not to donate Unknown	6 2 3 8 3 2 1 3 1 1	19.4 6.5 9.7 25.8 9.7 6.5 3.2 9.7 3.2 3.2
Total	31	100.0
If 'other', please contact your local SN-OD for more information, if	required.	



3.3 Referral to Specialist Nurse - Organ Donation (SN-OD)

Funnel plots of DBD and DCD referral rates are displayed in Figure 3.3.1. The 2015/16 national targets of 96% and 79% for DBD and DCD, respectively, are also shown on the funnel plots, for information. Every patient who meets the referral criteria should be identified and referred to the SN-OD, as per NICE CG135¹ and NHS Blood and Transplant (NHSBT) Best Practice Guidance on timely identification and referral of potential organ donors².

Figure 3.3.1 Funnel plots of referral rates, 1 April 2015 - 31 March 2016




Table 3.3.1 shows the reasons why patients were not referred to a SN-OD, if applicable, for your Team.

Table 3.3.1 Reasons given why patient not referred, 1 April 2015 - 31 March 2016									
	ı	DBD	ı	DCD					
	N	%	N	%					
Not identified as a potential donor/organ donation not considered Medical contraindications	5	62.5	56 16	53.8 15.4					
Thought to be medically unsuitable	-	-	21	20.2					
Thought to be outside age criteria Other	3	37.5	1 10	1.0 9.6					
Total	8	100.0	104	100.0					

If 'other' or 'medical contraindications', please contact your local SN-OD for more information, if required. Please note that patients may appear in this table more than once if they met the referral criteria for both DBD and DCD donation.



Early referral to the SN-OD is important to enable the opportunity for donation to be maximised. Early referral triggers should be in place to ensure all donors are identified to the SN-OD to allow the family the option of organ donation. For patients who were referred, Table 3.3.2 shows the timing of the first contact with the SN-OD by the clinical staff. All patients meeting the referral criteria should be referred as early as possible to enable attendance of the SN-OD to assess suitability for donation and ensure that a planned approach for consent to the family is made in line with NICE CG135¹ and NHSBT Best Practice Guidance on approaching the families of potential organ donors³.

Table 3.3.2 Timing of first contact with a SN-OD by clinical staff, for patients who were referred, 1 April 2015 - 31 March 2016

	=	DBD %	-	DCD %
	N	70	N	70
Before sedation stopped	16	7.9	26	4.7
Absence of one or more cranial nerve reflexes and GCS of 4 or less not explained by sedation	132	65.3	42	7.7
No sedation or after sedation stopped, decision made to carry out BSD tests, before 1st set of tests	42	20.8	-	0.0
After 1st set and before 2nd set of BSD tests	1	0.5	-	0.0
After neurological death confirmation	1	0.5	-	0.0
Clinical decision to withdraw life-sustaining treatment has been made, before treatment withdrawn	10	5.0	470	85.6
After treatment withdrawn	-	0.0	11	2.0
Not reported	-	0.0	-	0.0
Total	202	100.0	549	100.0

NB, 21 patients with suspected neurological death also went on to meet the referral criteria for DCD donation, and are therefore included twice.

¹ NICE, 2011. *NICE Clinical Guidelines - CG135* [online]. Available at: http://publications.nice.org.uk/organ-donation-for-transplantation-improving-donor-identification-and-consent-rates-for-deceased-cg135/recommendations> [accessed 9 May 2016]

² NHS Blood and Transplant, 2012. *Timely Identification and Referral of Potential Organ Donors - A Strategy for Implementation of Best Practice* [online]. Available at:

http://www.odt.nhs.uk/pdf/timely-identification-and-referral-potential-donors.pdf [accessed 9 May 2016]

³ NHS Blood and Transplant, 2013. *Approaching the Families of Potential Organ Donors – Best Practice Guidance* [online]. Available at:

http://www.odt.nhs.uk/pdf/family approach best practice guide.pdf> [accessed 9 May 2016]



3.4 Contraindications

Table 3.4.1 shows the primary absolute medical contraindications to solid organ donation, if applicable, for potential DBD donors confirmed dead by neurological death tests and potential DCD donors in your Team.

Table 3.4.1 Primary absolute medical contraindications to solid organ donation 1 April 2015 - 31 March 2016	,	
	DBD	DCD
Any cancer with evidence of spread outside affected organ (including lymph nodes) within 3 years	1	75
Melanoma (except completely excised Stage 1 cancers)	_	2
Active haematological malignancy (myeloma, lymphoma, leukaemia)	-	21
TB: active and untreated	1	2 2
HIV disease (but not HIV infection)	-	2
No transplantable organ in accordance with organ specific contraindications	-	28
Total	2	130



3.5 Family approach

Funnel plots of DBD and DCD family approach rates are displayed in Figure 3.5.1. The 2015/16 national targets of 93.5% and 47% for DBD and DCD, respectively, are also shown on the plots, for information. All families of eligible donors should be formally approached for a decision about organ donation.

Figure 3.5.1 Funnel plots of approach rates, 1 April 2015 - 31 March 2016




Table 3.5.1 shows the reasons why patients were not formally approached for a decision about organ donation, if applicable, for your Team.

		OBD	DCD		
	N	%	N	%	
Family stated that they would not consent/authorise before they were formally approached	1	5.6	6	2.9	
Family untraceable	3	16.7	1	0.5	
Family considered too upset to approach	-	-	1	0.5	
Coroner/Procurator Fiscal refused permission	6	33.3	4	2.0	
Patient's general medical condition	7	38.9	119	58.3	
Other medical reason	1	5.6	9	4.4	
Resource failure	-	-	1	0.5	
Other	-	-	12	5.9	
Not identified as a potential donor / organ donation not considered	-	-	51	25.0	
Total	18	100.0	204	100.0	




3.6 Proportion of approaches involving a SN-OD

In the UK, in 2015/16, when a SN-OD was not involved in the approach to the family for a decision about organ donation, DBD and DCD consent rates were 51% and 24%, respectively, compared with DBD and DCD consent rates of 70% and 67%, respectively, when a SN-OD was involved. NICE CG135¹ and NHSBT Best Practice Guidance on approaching the families of potential organ donors³ reinforces that every approach to those close to the patient should be planned with the multidisciplinary team (MDT), should involve the SN-OD and should be clearly planned taking into account the known wishes of the patient. The Organ Donor Register (ODR) should be checked in all cases of potential donation and this information must be discussed with the family as it represents the eligible donor's legal consent to donation.

Funnel plots of DBD and DCD SN-OD involvement rates are displayed in Figure 3.6.1. The 2015/16 national targets of 87% and 75% for DBD and DCD, respectively, are also shown, for information. A SN-OD should be actively involved in the formal approach to the family and an approach plan made and followed.

Figure 3.6.1 Funnel plots of SN-OD involvement rates, 1 April 2015 - 31 March 2016





3.7 Consent

Funnel plots of DBD and DCD consent rates are displayed in Figure 3.7.1. The 2015/16 national targets of 72.5% and 58.5% for DBD and DCD, respectively, are also shown, for information.

Figure 3.7.1 Funnel plot of consent rates, 1 April 2015 - 31 March 2016




Table 3.7.1 shows the reasons why families did not give consent, if applicable, for your Team.

	DBD DC					
	N	%	N	%		
Patient previously expressed a wish not to donate	16	29.6	12	15.6		
Family were not sure whether the patient would have agreed to donation	6	11.1	10	13.0		
Family did not believe in donation	1	1.9	4	5.2		
Family felt it was against their religious/cultural beliefs	5	9.3	2 6	2.6		
Family were divided over the decision	5 2	3.7	6	7.8		
Family felt the patient had suffered enough	4	7.4	5	6.		
amily did not want surgery to the body		3.7	6	7.8		
Family wanted to stay with the patient after death	2 3 3	5.6	1	1.3		
amily felt the length of time for donation process was too long	3	5.6	16	20.8		
amily concerned that other people may disapprove/be offended	_	_	2	2.0		
Family felt the body needs to be buried whole (unrelated to religious or sultural reasons)	4	7.4	-			
ramily concerned that organs may not be transplanted	5	9.3	1	1.3		
Strong refusal - probing not appropriate	5 2	3.7	6	7.8		
Other	1	1.9	6	7.8		
Fotal	54	100.0	77	100.0		



3.8 Reasons why solid organ donation did not occur

Table 3.8.1 shows the reasons why solid organ donation did not occur, if applicable, for your Team.

	DBD DCD									
	N	%	N	%						
Family changed mind	_	-	3	4.9						
Coroner/ Procurator Fiscal refusal	-	_	4	6.6						
Organs deemed medically unsuitable by recipient centres	4	50.0	27	44.3						
Organs deemed medically unsuitable on surgical inspection	3	37.5	-	-						
Prolonged time to asystole	-	_	24	39.3						
General instability	1	12.5	2	3.3						
Other	-	-	1	1.6						
Total	8	100.0	61	100.0						



4. PDA data by Trust

A summary of key numbers and rates from the PDA by Trust

4.1 Key numbers and rates by Trust

Tables 4.1.1 and 4.1.2 show the key numbers and rates for patients who met the DBD and/or DCD referral criteria, respectively. Caution should be applied when interpreting percentages based on small numbers.

death was that were death testing referred to referral suspected tested class (%) SN-OD rate (%) SN-OD referral referr	Table 4.			met the D 31 March)		
Ashford and St Peter's Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust 5 0 5 100 3 3 3 2 67 1 50 1 Brighton and Sussex University Hospitals NHS Trust 33 19 88 27 82 19 19 17 89 12 71 11 Croydon Health Services NHS Trust 4 4 100 4 100 4 4 4 4 4 100 0 0 0 0 0 Dartford and Gravesham NHS Trust 3 1 33 2 67 1 1 1 1 100 1 100 1 1 100 1 East Kent Hospitals University NHS Foundation Trust 16 15 94 16 100 15 15 15 14 93 9 64 6 East Sussex Healthcare NHS Trust 7 5 71 6 86 4 4 4 4 100 2 50 1 Eposom and St Helier University Hospitals NHS Trust 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	where neurological death was	that were	death testing	where neurological death was suspected that were referred to	referral	confirmed dead by neurological	donors (Death confirmed by neurological tests and no absolute contra-	donors whose family were	approach	consenting	consent	and DCD donors from eligible DBD	DBD SN-OE involvement rate (%)
5 3 60 5 100 3 3 2 67 1 50 1 Brighton and Sussex University Hospitals NHS Trust 3 19 19 17 89 12 71 11 Croydon Health Services NHS Trust 4 100 4 4 4 100 0 0 0 0 Darkford and Gravesham NHS Trust 3 1 3 2 67 1 1 1 100 1 100 1 East Kent Hospitals University NHS Foundation Trust 16 16 100 15 15 14 93 9 64 6 East Kent Hospitals University Hospitals NHS Trust 6 4 4 4 100 2 50 1 East Kent Hospitals VH-Properties III NHS Trust 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 April 2015	to 31 March	2016										
Same Street Services Servic						3	3	2	67	1	50	1	100
Dartford and Gravesham NHS Trust 3 1 33 2 67 1 1 1 1 100 1 100 1 East Kent Hospitals University NHS Foundation Trust 16 15 94 16 100 15 15 14 93 9 64 6 East Sussex Healthcare NHS Trust 7 5 71 6 86 4 4 4 4 100 2 50 1 Epsom and St Helier University Hospitals NHS Trust 0 0 - 0 - 0 0 0 0 - 0 - 0 Frimley Health NHS Foundation Trust 15 13 87 15 100 13 13 11 85 8 73 8 Guernsey 0 0 0 - 0 0 0 0 - 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0					82	19	19	17	89	12	71	11	71
East Kent Hospitals University NHS Foundation Trust 16 15 94 16 100 15 15 15 14 93 9 64 6 East Sussex Healthcare NHS Trust 7 5 71 6 86 4 4 4 4 100 2 50 1 Epsom and St Helier University Hospitals NHS Trust 0 0 - 0 0 0 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 Frimley Health NHS Foundation Trust 15 13 87 15 100 13 13 11 85 8 73 8 Guernsey 0 0 - 0 0 0 0 - 0 - 0 Jersey 2 2 100 2 100 2 2 2 1 50 1 100 1 Kingston Hospital NHS Foundation Trust 1 1 1 100 1 1 1 1 100 0 0 0 Lewisham and Greenwich Healthcare NHS Trust 9 8 9 9 100 8 8 8 6 75 2 33 2 Maidstone and Tunbridge Wells NHS Trust 10 10 10 10 10 10 9 90 6 67 5 Medway NHS Foundation Trust 15 15 100 15 100 15 15 14 93 9 64 9 Oxleas NHS Foundation Trust 15 15 100 15 100 15 15 14 93 9 64 9	•			4	100	4	4	4	100	0	0	0	100
16				2	67	1	1	1	100	1	100	1	100
Firmley Health NHS Foundation Trust 15 13 87 15 100 13 13 13 11 85 8 73 8 Guernsey 0 0 - 0 0 0 0 0 - 0 - 0 Jersey 2 2 100 2 100 2 2 2 1 50 1 100 1 Kingston Hospital NHS Foundation Trust 1 1 100 1 100 1 1 1 1 1 100 0 0 0 Lewisham and Greenwich Healthcare NHS Trust 9 8 89 9 100 8 8 8 6 75 2 33 2 Maidstone and Tunbridge Wells NHS Trust 10 10 10 15 100 15 100 15 15 14 93 9 64 9 Oxleas NHS Foundation Trust			•		100	15	15	14	93	9	64	6	100
Frimley Health NHS Foundation Trust 15 13 87 15 100 13 13 11 85 8 73 8 Guernsey 0 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 0 0 - 0 - 0 Jersey 2 2 100 2 100 2 2 2 1 50 1 100 1 Kingston Hospital NHS Foundation Trust 1 1 1 100 1 100 1 1 1 1 100 0 0 0 Lewisham and Greenwich Healthcare NHS Trust 9 8 89 9 100 8 8 8 6 75 2 33 2 Maidstone and Tunbridge Wells NHS Trust 10 10 100 10 100 10 10 10 9 90 6 67 5 Medway NHS Foundation Trust 15 15 100 15 100 15 15 15 14 93 9 64 9 Oxleas NHS Foundation Trust				6	86	4	4	4	100	2	50	1	100
15 13 87 15 100 13 13 11 85 8 73 8			rersity Hospita -		-	0	0	0	-	0	-	0	-
Jersey 2 2 100 2 100 2 2 2 1 50 1 100 1 Kingston Hospital NHS Foundation Trust 1 1 100 1 100 1 1 1 1 1 100 0 0 0 0 Lewisham and Greenwich Healthcare NHS Trust 9 8 89 9 100 8 8 8 6 75 2 33 2 Maidstone and Tunbridge Wells NHS Trust 10 10 10 100 10 10 10 10 9 90 6 67 5 Medway NHS Foundation Trust 15 15 100 15 100 15 15 14 93 9 64 9 Oxleas NHS Foundation Trust				15	100	13	13	11	85	8	73	8	100
2	•	0	-	0	-	0	0	0	-	0	-	0	-
1 1 100 1 100 1 1 10 1 1 1 100 0 0 0 0		2	100	2	100	2	2	1	50	1	100	1	100
9 8 89 9 100 8 8 6 75 2 33 2 Maidstone and Tunbridge Wells NHS Trust 10 10 100 10 100 10 10 9 90 6 67 5 Medway NHS Foundation Trust 15 15 100 15 100 15 15 14 93 9 64 9 Oxleas NHS Foundation Trust					100	1	1	1	100	0	0	0	100
10 10 100 10 100 10 10 9 90 6 67 5 Medway NHS Foundation Trust 15 15 100 15 100 15 15 14 93 9 64 9 Oxleas NHS Foundation Trust					100	8	8	6	75	2	33	2	83
15 15 100 15 100 15 15 14 93 9 64 9 Oxleas NHS Foundation Trust					100	10	10	9	90	6	67	5	67
				15	100	15	15	14	93	9	64	9	100
	Oxleas NHS 0		Trust -	0	-	0	0	0	-	0	-	0	-
Royal Surrey County Hospital NHS Foundation Trust 4 4 100 4 100 4 4 2 50 1 50 0					100	4	4	2	50	1	50	0	100



Table 4.1.1 Patients who met the DBD referral criteria - key numbers and rates, 1 April 2015 - 31 March 2016 (1 April 2014 - 31 March 2015 for comparison)

Patients where neurological death was suspected 65		Neurological	Patients where eurological death was suspected that were referred to SN-OD 65	DBD referral rate (%) 100	Patients confirmed dead by neurological testing 61	Eligible DBD donors (Death confirmed by neurological tests and no absolute contra- indications)	Eligible DBD donors whose family were approached 55	DBD approach rate (%) 93	Families consenting donation 38	DBD consent rate (%)	Actual DBD and DCD donors from eligible DBD donors 37	DBD SN-OD involvement rate (%) 98
Surrey and Su	ussex Health 6	care NHS Trust 100	6	100	6	6	5	83	3	60	3	100
Western Suss	sex Hospitals 9	NHS Foundatio	on Trust 12	100	9	9	9	100	8	89	8	100
1 April 2014 t	1 April 2014 to 31 March 2015 (for comparison purposes)											
Ashford and S	St Peter's Ho	spitals NHS Fou 83	ındation Trus 6	t 100	5	5	5	100	3	60	3	100
Brighton and 3	Sussex Unive 11	ersity Hospitals 65	NHS Trust 16	94	11	10	10	100	8	80	8	90
Croydon Heal	lth Services I 3	NHS Trust 75	4	100	3	2	2	100	2	100	2	100
Dartford and 0	Gravesham I 3	NHS Trust 100	3	100	3	3	3	100	2	67	2	100
East Kent Hos	spitals Unive	rsity NHS Found 82	dation Trust 11	100	8	8	8	100	6	75	6	100
East Sussex	Healthcare N 11	IHS Trust 85	13	100	11	11	11	100	8	73	6	100
Epsom and S 5	t Helier Unive 4	ersity Hospitals 80	NHS Trust 5	100	4	4	4	100	1	25	1	100
Frimley Healti 21	h NHS Found 18	dation Trust 86	20	95	18	18	18	100	11	61	10	100
Guernsey 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	-	0	-
Jersey 2	2	100	2	100	2	2	2	100	1	50	1	50
Kingston Hos _l 3	pital NHS Fo 2	undation Trust 67	3	100	2	2	2	100	2	100	1	100
Lewisham and	d Greenwich 6	Healthcare NHS 86	Trust 7	100	6	6	6	100	3	50	2	100
9	8	Wells NHS Trus 89	<i>t</i> 9	100	8	8	8	100	4	50	4	100
Medway NHS 11	10	91	10	91	10	10	10	100	8	80	6	100
Oxleas NHS I	0	-	0	-	0	0	0	-	0	-	0	-
4	3	oital NHS Found 75	4	100	3	3	2	67	2	100	2	100
St George's F 60	Healthcare NH 53	HS Foundation 1 88	Frust 60	100	53	51	48	94	37	77	33	96
6	4	care NHS Trust 67	6	100	4	3	3	100	3	100	3	100
Western Suss 4	sex Hospitals 4	NHS Foundation	on Trust 4	100	4	4	4	100	4	100	4	100



Table 4.1.2 Patients who met the DCD referral criteria - key numbers and rates, 1 April 2015 - 31 March 2016 (1 April 2014 - 31 March 2015 for comparison)

Eligible DCD donors (Imminent death Patients for anticipated and whom imminent treatment withdrawn with Eligible DCD Actual DCD Patients for Patients for death was whom imminent anticipated that whom no absolute donors whose Families donors from DCD SN-OD DCD referral DCD approach DCD consent death was were referred treatment was contrafamily were consenting eligible DCD involvement to SN-OD donation rate (%) anticipated rate (%) withdrawn indications) approached rate (%) rate (%) donors 1 April 2015 to 31 March 2016 Ashford and St Peter's Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust Brighton and Sussex University Hospitals NHS Trust Croydon Health Services NHS Trust Dartford and Gravesham NHS Trust East Kent Hospitals University NHS Foundation Trust East Sussex Healthcare NHS Trust Epsom and St Helier University Hospitals NHS Trust Frimley Health NHS Foundation Trust Guernsey Jersey Kingston Hospital NHS Foundation Trust Lewisham and Greenwich Healthcare NHS Trust Maidstone and Tunbridge Wells NHS Trust Medway NHS Foundation Trust Oxleas NHS Foundation Trust n Royal Surrey County Hospital NHS Foundation Trust St George's Healthcare NHS Foundation Trust Surrey and Sussex Healthcare NHS Trust Western Sussex Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust 1 April 2014 to 31 March 2015 (for comparison purposes) Ashford and St Peter's Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust Brighton and Sussex University Hospitals NHS Trust Croydon Health Services NHS Trust



Table 4.1.2 Patients who met the DCD referral criteria - key numbers and rates, 1 April 2015 - 31 March 2016 (1 April 2014 - 31 March 2015 for comparison)

Patients for whom imminent death was anticipated 15	Patients for whom imminent death was anticipated that were referred to SN-OD 8	DCD referral rate (%)	Patients for whom treatment was withdrawn 15	Eligible DCD donors (Imminent death anticipated and treatment withdrawn with no absolute contra- indications)	Eligible DCD donors whose family were approached 5	DCD approach rate (%)	Families consenting donation 2	DCD consent rate (%)	Actual DCD donors from eligible DCD donors	DCD SN-OD involvement rate (%)
Dartford and G	ravesham NHS	Trust								
41	32	78	35	24	9	38	4	44	3	100
East Kent Hosp 64	oitals University 61	NHS Foundation 95	on Trust 61	45	29	64	17	59	5	86
East Sussex H	ealthcare NHS 1 25	Trust 81	29	22	7	32	6	86	1	86
Epsom and St	Helier University 16	Hospitals NHS 76	S Trust 20	6	4	67	1	25	0	50
Frimley Health 65	NHS Foundation 52	n Trust 80	50	34	22	65	11	50	5	86
Guernsey 5	4	80	5	5	2	40	0	0	0	50
Jersey 2	1	50	2	2	1	50	1	100	0	0
Kingston Hospi 22	tal NHS Founda 12	tion Trust 55	14	7	4	57	4	100	1	100
Lewisham and 48	Greenwich Healt 30	thcare NHS Tru 63	ust 40	24	4	17	3	75	1	100
Maidstone and 31	Tunbridge Wells 21	s NHS Trust 68	30	22	9	41	7	78	0	78
Medway NHS I 33	oundation Trust 28	85	31	23	11	48	9	82	5	100
Oxleas NHS Fo	oundation Trust 0	-	0	0	0	-	0	-	0	-
Royal Surrey C 25	County Hospital I 10	NHS Foundation 40	n Trust 21	9	6	67	4	67	1	67
St George's He	ealthcare NHS F 47	oundation Trus 94	t 42	37	27	73	19	70	10	96
Surrey and Sus 22	ssex Healthcare 12	NHS Trust 55	17	11	4	36	1	25	1	50
Western Sussex Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust 40 27 68 39 30 11 37 7 64 5 100										
40	27	68	39	. 30	11	31		64	5	100

Tables 4.1.1 and 4.1.2 show the unit where the patient died. However, it is acknowledged that there are some occasions where a patient is referred in an Emergency Department but moves to a critical care unit. In total, for the South East team in 2015/16 there were 41 such patients.

It is acknowledged that the PDA does not capture all activity. In total there were 94 patients referred in 2015/16 who are not included in Section 2 onwards because they were either over 80 years of age or did not die in a unit participating in the PDA. 2 of these are included in Section 1 because they became a solid organ donor.




5. Paediatric ICU data

A summary of key rates from the PDA for Paediatric ICUs

5.1 PICU data

The UK average rates for paediatric ICUs are displayed on the radar charts along with the rates achieved by the paediatric ICUs covered by your Team. A comparison is also provided for the equivalent period last year. Caution should be applied when interpreting percentages based on small numbers. Note that neonatal ICUs have not been included.






Appendices

Appendix A.1 Bar charts of key rates

Figure A.1.1 shows the same information as the radar charts in Section 2 but in an alternative format. The bars show the latest rates for your Team. Purple lines have been superimposed to provide a comparison with the UK and turquoise dashed lines show the rates achieved by your Team in the equivalent period last year. The colour of the rate label indicates the Team performance as shown in the appropriate funnel plot using the gold, silver, bronze, amber, and red (GoSBAR) scheme.

Figure A.1.1 DBD and DCD key rates





Appendix A.2 Definitions

POTENTIAL DONOR AUDIT / REFERRAL RECORD

Data excluded Patients who did not die on a critical care unit or an emergency department and

patients aged over 80 years are excluded.

Donors after brain death (DBD)

Suspected Neurological Death A patient who meets all of the following criteria: Apnoea, coma from known aetiology

and unresponsive, ventilated, fixed pupils. Excluding those not tested due to reasons 'cardiac arrest despite resuscitation', 'brainstem reflexes returned', 'neonates - less

than 2 months post term'.

Potential DBD donor A patient who meets all four criteria for neurological death testing excluding those not

tested due to reasons 'cardiac arrest despite resuscitation', 'brainstem reflexes returned', 'neonates – less than 2 months post term' (ie suspected neurological death,

as defined above).

DBD referral criteria A patient with suspected neurological death

Discussed with Specialist A patient with suspected neurological death discussed with the Specialist

Nurse – Organ Donation Nurse – Organ Donation (SN-OD)

Neurological death tested Neurological death tests were performed

Eligible DBD donor A patient confirmed dead by neurological death tests, with no absolute medical

contraindications to solid organ donation

Absolute contraindications Absolute medical contraindications to organ donation are listed here:

http://www.odt.nhs.uk/pdf/contraindications_to_organ_donation.pdf

Family approached for consent / authorisation

Family of eligible DBD asked to make a decision on donation

Family consented / authorised Family consented to / authorised donation

Actual donors: DBD Neurological death confirmed patients who became actual DBD as reported through

the PDA

Actual donors: DCD Neurological death confirmed patients who became actual DCD as reported through

the PDA

Neurological death testing rate Percentage of patients for whom neurological death was suspected who were tested

Referral rate Percentage of patients for whom neurological death was suspected who were

discussed with the SN-OD

Approach rate Percentage of eligible DBD families approached for consent /authorisation for

donation

Consent / authorisation rate Percentage of families approached about donation that consented to / authorised

donation

(black, asian and minority ethnic)), based on those patients whose family were

approached for consent /authorisation and patient ethnicity was known

SN-OD involvement rate Percentage of family approaches where a SN-OD was involved

to / authorised donation



Donors after circulatory death (DCD)

Imminent death anticipated A patient, not confirmed dead using neurological criteria, receiving assisted ventilation,

a clinical decision to withdraw treatment has been made and death is anticipated

DCD referral criteria A patient in whom imminent death is anticipated (as defined above)

Discussed with Specialist Patients for whom imminent death was anticipated who were discussed with the

Nurse – Organ Donation SN-OD

Potential DCD donor A patient who had treatment withdrawn and death was anticipated within four hours Eligible DCD donor

A patient who had treatment withdrawn and death was anticipated within four hours,

with no absolute medical contraindications to solid organ donation

Absolute contraindications Absolute medical contraindications to organ donation are listed here:

http://www.odt.nhs.uk/pdf/contraindications to organ donation.pdf

Family of eligible DCD asked to make a decision on donation

Family approached for

consent / authorisation

Family consented / authorised

Actual DCD DCD patients who became actual DCD as reported through the PDA

Referral rate Percentage of patients for whom imminent death was anticipated who were discussed

Family consented to / authorised donation

with the SN-OD

Percentage of eligible DCD families approached for consent /authorisation for Approach rate

donation

Consent / authorisation rate Percentage of families approached about donation that consented to / authorised

donation

Expected consent / authorisation rate The expected consent / authorisation rate given the ethnicity case mix (white or BAME

(black, asian and minority ethnic)), based on those patients whose family were

approached for consent /authorisation and patient ethnicity was known

SN-OD involvement rate Percentage of family approaches where a SN-OD was involved

SN-OD consent / authorisation rate Percentage of families approached about donation by a SN-OD that consented

to / authorised donation

UK Transplant Registry (UKTR)

Donor type Type of donor: Donation after brain death (DBD) or donation after circulatory death

(DCD)

Number of actual donors Total number of donors reported to the UKTR

Number of patients transplanted Total number of patients transplanted from these donors

Number of organs donated divided by number of donors. The maximum number of Organs per donor

solid organs that can be donated are 7 for a DBD and 6 for a DCD.

Number of organs transplanted Total number of organs transplanted by organ type

On 1 April 2013 significant changes were made to the PDA. The main changes that should be borne in mind, especially when making comparisons across time periods, are as follows:

- Upper age limit increased from 75 to 80 years.
- Cardiothoracic ICUs included.
- Changes to imminent death definition to be clear that death was anticipated within four hours.
- Contraindications brought in line with current practice.
- Terminology changes, eg 'potential donor' changed to 'eligible donor', for consistency with World Health Organisation definitions.



Appendix A.3 Data description

This report provides a summary of data relating to potential and actual organ donors as recorded by NHS Blood and Transplant via the Potential Donor Audit (PDA), the accompanying Referral Record and the UK Transplant Registry for the South East Team. The report covers the time period 1 April 2015 to 31 March 2016 and data from 1 April 2014 to 31 March 2015 are also provided in certain sections for comparison purposes.

As part of the PDA, patients aged over 80 years of age and those who did not die on a critical care unit or an emergency department are not audited nationally and are therefore excluded from the majority of this report. In addition, some information from this time period may be outstanding due to late reporting and difficulties obtaining patient notes. Donations not captured by the PDA will still be included in the data supplied from the accompanying Referral Record or from the UK Transplant Registry, as appropriate.

Some percentages in this report were calculated using small numbers and should therefore be interpreted with caution.

Please refer any queries or requests for further information to your local Specialist Nurse - Organ Donation (SN-OD)



Appendix A.4 Table and figure description

Each table and figure displayed throughout the report is described below to aid interpretation.

1.1 Donor outcomes

Table 1.1.1

The number of actual donors, the resulting number of patients transplanted and the average number of organs donated per donor have been obtained from the UK Transplant Registry for your Team. Results have been displayed separately for donors after brain death (DBD) and donors after circulatory death (DCD).

Table 1.1.2

The number of organs transplanted by type from donors within your Team has been obtained from the UK Transplant Registry. Further information can be obtained from your local Specialist Nurse – Organ Donation (SN-OD), specifically regarding organs that were not transplanted. Results have been displayed separately for DBD and DCD.

2.1 Key rates Figure 2.1.1

Radar charts are displayed showing specific percentage measures of potential donation activity for your Team compared with national data for the UK, and compared with an equivalent time period from the previous financial year, using data from the Potential Donor Audit (PDA). The DBD charts show the percentage of patients tested for neurological death, and all four charts also show the referral rates, approach rates, proportion of approaches involving a SN-OD and observed consent/authorisation rates. Appendix A.2 gives a fuller explanation of terms used.

The blue shaded area represents your Team, and the national rates are superimposed as a solid purple line for comparison. The equivalent period from the previous year is superimposed as a dashed turquoise line. The fuller the blue shaded area the better. Note that 0% and 'not applicable (N/A)' rates appear the same. The rates have therefore been displayed on the spokes of the radar charts. The rates are coloured using the gold, silver, bronze, amber, and red (GoSBAR) colour scheme to show the performance of one Trust/Board as reflected in the plots (see description in figure 3.2.1 below)

Note that caution should be applied when interpreting percentages based on small numbers and when comparing time periods.

2.2 Key numbers, rates and comparison with national targetsTable 2.2.1 A summary of DBD and DCD data and key rates have been obtained from the PDA. A national comparison and a time period comparison are provided. Note that caution should be applied when interpreting percentages based on small numbers and comparing time periods. Appendix A.2 gives a fuller explanation of terms used.

The key rates are highlighted using the gold, silver, bronze, amber, and red (GoSBAR) colour scheme to show the performance of the Trust/Board as reflected in the funnel plots (see description for figure 3.2.1 below)

National targets specific to the financial year are displayed throughout Section 3.

3.1 Overview of lost opportunities

Figure 3.1.1

The stages at which potential donors lose the opportunity to become actual donors have been obtained from the PDA. There are four charts showing the DBD and DCD stages separately for your Team and the UK, all of which contain a comparison against an equivalent period from the previous financial year. The number of potential donors is shown on the vertical axis for each chart and at each 'step' the proportion of potential donors lost at that stage is displayed. Caution should be applied when interpreting percentages based on small numbers and comparing time periods.

3.2 Neurological death testing

Figure 3.2.1

A funnel plot of the neurological death testing rate is displayed using data obtained from the PDA. Each Trust/Board is represented on the plot as a blue dot, although one dot may represent more than one Trust/Board. Trusts/Boards within your Team are shown on the plot as large black crosses. The national target is shown on the plot as a green horizontal dashed line. The national rate is shown on the plot as a black horizontal dashed line, together with 95% and 99.8% confidence limits for this rate. These limits form a 'funnel', shaded using a gold, silver, bronze, amber, and red (GoSBAR) colour scheme. Graphs obtained in this way are known as funnel plots.

If a Trust/Board lies within the 95% limits, shaded bronze, then that Trust/Board has a rate that is statistically consistent with the national rate. If a Trust/Board lies outside the 95% confidence limits, shaded silver or amber, this serves as an alert that the Trust/Board may have a rate that is significantly different from the national rate. When a Trust/Board lies above the upper 99.8% limit, shaded gold, this indicates a rate that is significantly higher than the national rate, while a Trust/Board that lies below the lower limit, shaded red, has a rate that is significantly lower than the national rate. It is important to note that differences in patient mix have not been accounted for in these plots.

The funnel plots can also be used to identify the maximum rates currently being achieved by Trusts/Boards with similar donor potential.

Table 3.2.1

The reasons given for neurological death tests not being performed have been obtained from the PDA, if applicable.



3.3 Referral to Specialist Nurse - Organ Donation

Funnel plots of DBD and DCD referral rates are displayed using data obtained from the PDA. See Figure 3.3.1

description for Figure 3.2.1 above.

The reasons for not referring the patient to the SN-OD have been obtained from the PDA, if applicable. Table 3.3.1

Table 3.3.2 For patients who were referred, the timings of the first contact with the SN-OD by clinical staff have been

obtained from the PDA

3.4 Contraindications

Table 3.4.1 The primary absolute medical contraindications to solid organ donation have been obtained from the PDA, if

applicable.

3.5 Family approach

Funnel plots of DBD and DCD approach rates are displayed using data obtained from the PDA. See Figure 3.5.1

description for Figure 3.2.1 above.

Table 3.5.1 The reasons why families were not formally approached for a decision about solid organ donation have been obtained from the PDA, if applicable.

3.6 Proportion of approaches involving a SN-OD Figure 3.6.1 Funnel plots of DBD and DCD SN-OD involvement rates are displayed using data obtained from the PDA.

See description for Figure 3.2.1 above.

3.7 Consent

Figure 3.7.1 Funnel plots of DBD and DCD consent/authorisation rates are displayed using data obtained from the PDA.

See description for Figure 3.2.1 above.

Table 3.7.1 The reasons why families did not give consent/authorisation for solid organ donation have been obtained

from the PDA, if applicable.

3.8 Reasons why solid organ donation did not occur

Table 3.8.1 The reasons why solid organ donation did not occur have been obtained from the PDA, if applicable.

4.1 Key numbers and rates by Trusts/Boards within your Team

Table 4.1.1 DBD key numbers and rates by Trusts/Boards covered by your Team have been obtained from the PDA.

Data for the current time period are included, along with an equivalent comparison period from the previous

year. If the Trusts/Boards are not equivalent for the two time periods, this is due to Trust/Board changes, and/or there were no patients for whom neurological death was suspected or imminent death was anticipated in one

of the time periods.

Caution should be applied when interpreting percentages based on small numbers and comparing time

periods.

Table 4.1.2 DCD key numbers and rates by unit where the patient died have been obtained from the PDA. See

description for Table 4.1.1 above.

5.1 PICU data

Radar charts have been used to display the DBD and DCD key rates from the PDA for paediatric ICUs. The Figure 5.1.1 UK average rates for paediatric ICUs are displayed along with the rates achieved by paediatric ICUs covered

by your Team. A comparison is also provided for the equivalent period from the previous year. See

description for Figure 2.1.1 above.

Caution should be applied when interpreting percentages based on small numbers and comparing time

periods.

Appendix A.1 Bar charts of key rates

Figure A.1.1 Bar charts have been used to display the DBD and DCD key rates from the PDA. This is an alternative way

of displaying the information in Figure 2.1.1.

The percentages for your Team in the latest time period are displayed on each bar. Note that caution should

be applied when interpreting percentages based on small numbers and comparing time periods.