

The Rise of Robotic Renal Transplantation

- Challenges
- Potential solutions

Mr Dan Wilby FRCS(Urol)
Consultant Urological Surgeon


Portsmouth Hospitals
University
NHS Trust



Declaration of interests

- Proctor for intuitive surgical Ltd

Key Surgical Advantages

- Direction of travel
 - >200 systems in UK
 - C3500 procedures in 2014, c36000 in 2024
- Akin to adoption of lap surgery in 90's (a dying art)
 - Enhanced precision
 - Superior visualisation
 - Tremor filtration
 - Better access in difficult anatomy



Challenge 1: Learning Curve



- Combines transplant, vascular and robotic surgery

- Requires mastery of intracorporeal vascular anastomosis

- Longer early operative times

- Increased warm ischaemia risk

Solutions?: Learning Curve



- Structured simulation training
- Cadaveric and dry lab practice
- Mentorship from experienced centres
- Fellowship exposure
- Careful early case selection

Challenge 2: Cost

- Expensive robotic systems

- Maintenance contracts

- Disposable instruments

- Specialist theatre staff

Solutions?: Cost



- Long-term value from fewer complications



- Shared robotic platforms multi-speciality



- High-volume centre efficiency



- Need stronger health-economic studies

Challenge 3: Ischaemia Time

- • Longer vascular anastomosis may increase warm ischemia
- • Risk of delayed graft function
- • Acute tubular injury
- • Poorer graft survival



Solutions?: Ischaemia Time

- Regional hypothermia
- Standardised approach
- Machine perfusion technologies
- Robotic Experience

"The YOUTH can walk faster, but it is the ELDER that knows the road." -African Proverb



Challenge 4: Limited Patient Eligibility

Contraindications
may include:

Severe
cardiovascular
disease

Extensive
vascular
calcification

Prior major
abdominal
surgery

Hostile abdomen

Solutions?: Patient Selection

- Multidisciplinary assessment
- Shared decision-making
- Clear institutional selection protocols

- Right operation for the right patient
- Robotic approach is not for everyone – patient and surgeon alike



Challenge 5: Deceased Donor Transplantation

- Unpredictable timing
- Emergency theatre access
- Overnight staffing
- Robot availability
- Increased logistical complexity

Solutions?: Deceased Donor RAKT

- 24/7 institutional readiness
- Standardised transplant pathways
- Centre specialisation



Ethical and Equity Concerns

- Risk of technology-driven inequality
- Resource diversion from basic transplant access
- Avoiding two-tier transplantation

Where to start?

- Donor nephrectomy
- Established robotic centres
- Developing RAKT within an experienced robotic centre improves safety, efficiency, and sustainability....why?.....

....Existing Surgical Expertise

- Robotic console skills
- Nephrectomy
- Pelvic dissection
- Intracorporeal suturing
- Managing robotic complications
- Shortens learning curve

....Established Theatre Infrastructure

- • Trained robotic scrub teams
- • Experienced bedside assistants
- • Familiar anaesthetic teams
- • Optimised theatre setup



...Better Management of Challenges

- Experienced centres manage:
 - Unexpected bleeding
 - Difficult vascular anatomy
 - Urgent conversion to open surgery
-
- Improves operative confidence

...the non-clinical

- • Executive support
- • Funding pathways
- • Easier robotic access
- • Better research infrastructure

Future of Robotic Renal Transplantation

- Improved robotic platforms
- AI-assisted planning
- Image-guided vascular mapping
- Remote surgery - mentoring



Final Key Messages

a fool with a tool
is still a fool



but it
makes
disaster
faster

- The greatest danger is not the robot itself.
- It is poor selection, inadequate training, and delayed decision-making
- Technology is only as good as the team behind it

Thank you

