

BOARD SELF-EFFECTIVENESS QUESTIONNAIRE

	Non-executive director	□ Asso	ociate non-executive d	irector 🗆 E	Executive director
1 = S	trongly disagree	2 = Disagree	3 = Neutral	4 = Agree	5 = Strongly Agree
1	. The Role of the E	Board, its objec	tives and remit		Score
1.	1 The Board sets clear stra	ategy/purpose and obje	ctives for NHSBT		
1.	2 The Board has a clear so	et of objectives that are	independent of those for I	NHSBT	
1.	3 The Board understands	its statutory responsibili	ties		
1.4 The Board is cohesive and combines support of management with appropriate challenge					
1.5 Significant programmes of work are aligned to NHSBT's Purpose and Values					
1.	6 Board members underst	and their individual roles	s and what is expected of	them	
1.7 The Board understands the distinction between the role of the Board and the Executive Team					
1.8 The Board devotes quality time to reviewing the implementation of the organisation's Purpose and Strategic Objectives					ategic Objectives
1.	9 The roles of Board mem	bers, the Chair and Sen	ior Independent Director	are sufficiently clear	
1.	10 Board members demons	strate compliance with th	ne Board Ways of Working	and Nolan Principles	

Further comments on role of the board, its objectives and remit (if any):

1 = Strongly disagree

2 = Disagree

3 = Neutral

4 = Agree

5 = Strongly Agree

2.	Risk Management	Score
2.1	The Board has a sound process for identifying and regularly reviewing its principal risks and makes the necessary amendments in the light of changes in the internal and external environment	
2.2	The Board receives regular, insightful reports on the organisation's risk management and internal control systems that provide assurance over their operational effectiveness	
2.3	The Board scrutinises risk and gains sufficient assurance that risk is appropriately managed before reaching and implementing decisions	
2.4	The Board is clear on its risk appetite and considers risk appetite in taking decisions	
2.5	The Board devotes sufficient time to determining the risk strategy of NHSBT	

Further comments on risk management (if any):

1 = Strongly disagree	2 = <i>Disagree</i>	3 = Neutral	4 = <i>Agree</i>	5 = Strongly Agree	
3. Performance M	lanagement			Scor	
3.1 The Board is fully informed on the performance and delivery of NHSBT's statutory obligations and targets through regular, high-quality information					
3.2 The Board receives e duties					
3.3 The Board has a goo	d understanding of the pe	erformance of the organisation	n		
3.4 Management provides a thorough analysis of performance against budget, targets and key outcomes, and discusses any necessary remedial action				nd discusses any	
3.5 The Board takes coll	ective responsibility for th	e performance of the organisa	ation		

Further comments on Performance Management (if any):

1 = Strongly disagree	2 = Disagree	3 = Neutral	4 = Agree	5 = Strongly Agree
4. Board Effective	ness			Score
4.1 The work of the Board	is transparent and open	to public scrutiny		
4.2 Conflicts of interest and	d potential conflicts of in	terest are declared and mana	aged properly	
4.3 Induction and developr	nent programmes ensur	e Board members remain up	-to-date throughout their time	e on the Board
4.4 The Board evaluates th	ne effectiveness of its de	ecisions		
4.5 The Board deals comp	rehensively with difficult	issues		
4.6 There is sufficient annu	ual evaluation of the Boa	ard's, Board members' and Co	ommittees' performance	
4.7 The Board draws up ad aspects, where approp		performance evaluations. The	e actions include behavioural	and qualitative
C	•	nd of skills, expertise and per challenges successfully	rsonalities, and the appropria	ate degree of
4.9 Appointments place sig directors' positions	gnificant emphasis on su	uccession planning both with	regard to independent and n	on-executive

Further comments on board effectiveness (if any):

1 = Strongly disagre	е
----------------------	---

5.	Board Meetings	Score
5.1	The Board receives sufficient, timely, good quality information on which to base its decisions	
5.2	Papers prepared by management are clear and contain sufficient good quality information to instigate proper discussion and scrutiny	
5.3	The agenda sufficiently covers the right topics and presents the opportunity for the Board to have proper discussions	
5.4	Board members are given equal opportunity to contribute to discussions on agenda items	
5.5	Board meetings are held for the appropriate duration	
5.6	The frequency and location of Board meetings are right	
5.7	Board minutes are produced in a timely manner and are of high quality	
5.8	There is clear understanding of what discussions should take place at Executive Team, Board Committees and the Board; and the escalation routes	

Further comments on board meetings (if any):

1 = Strongly disagree	2 = Disagree	3 = Neutral	4 = Agree	5 = Strongly Agree
6. Board Compo	osition			Score
6.1 The Board is sufficiently diverse, for example, in terms of age, gender and ethnicity.				
6.2 The Board is the right size to ensure effective decision making.				
6.3 The Board has the right mix of skills and experience.				
6.4 The Board has suffi	cient expertise for informed	decision making and for me	eting its statutory responsibili	ties.

Further comments on board composition (if any):

7. General Section			
7.1 How well do Board members work outside of Board meetings?			
7.2 Are non-executive directors given the opportunity to support the executive directors?			
7.3 Do executive directors feel they have the right support and challenge from non- executive directors?			
7.4 Do you have sufficiently wide and deep understanding of the organisation to be able to contribute fully, and if not, what do you suggest making this happen?			
7.5 Since the last board effectiveness review, is the board more, or less, effective?	More effective	Less effective	No change

General comments:		