

**NHS BLOOD AND TRANSPLANT**  
**CARDIOTHORACIC ADVISORY GROUP**  
**CARDIOTHORACIC AND LIVER REGISTRATIONS**

**BACKGROUND**

- 1 A paper was presented at the Spring 2018 Cardiothoracic Advisory Group meeting showing activity on combined cardiothoracic and liver registrations between 1 January 2000 and 31 December 2017. Following this, it was agreed that heart-liver patients will continue to be required to go through the adjudication panel if they do not qualify for urgent heart. It was initially agreed that lung-liver patients were entitled to automatic urgent listing, but this has since been changed to be requiring approval from the adjudication panel if they do not meet urgent lung criteria. In combination with these agreements, there has been a steady increase in the number of patients registered for a cardiothoracic and liver transplant.
- 2 This paper reports on recent cases of combined cardiothoracic and liver registration between 1 March 2020 and 28 February 2021. Details of any patients submitted to the heart or lung adjudication panels for combined cardiothoracic and liver transplant listing are also presented.

**RESULTS**

- 3 Between 1 January 2000 and 29 February 2020 (previously reported), there were a total of 10 cardiothoracic and liver transplants (1 heart, lung, and liver, 5 lung and liver, 4 heart and liver). Of these, 6 were alive post-transplant at last report.
- 4 Between 1 March 2020 and 28 February 2021, there have been 2 new patients registered for a cardiothoracic and liver transplant, both heart and liver and both were registered on the urgent heart list. As at 5 March 2021, both patients have been removed from the list, and one has since died. **Table 1 (table removed as patient specific)** shows the details of these patients and their outcomes.
- 5 The four heart-liver patients generated offers from 24 donors. The most common reasons for decline were donor size, poor function, and donor age.
- 6 Although both patients were registered under urgent heart category 32, one was referred to the CTAG (Heart) Adjudication Panel, and approved, and one was not. Details of this application to the panel are in **Table 2 (table removed as patient specific)**. There were no patients referred to the panel and rejected during this time period.

## Appendix I – super-urgent and urgent heart categories

### SUPER-URGENT PATIENTS

- 11 - Category 11 - Adult, Small Adult or Paediatric patient on temporary ventricular assist device (VAD) (Adult or Small Adult) or extra-corporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) support (Adult, Small Adult or Paediatric).
- 12 - Category 12 - Agreed by CTAG (Heart) Adjudication Panel and evidence of agreement emailed to NHSBT, and at imminent risk of dying or irreversible complications. Meets criteria for urgent listing but is not suitable for long-term VAD and/or other exceptional circumstances.

### URGENT ADULT PATIENTS

- 21 - Category 21 - Adult inpatient dependent on intravenous inotropes and/or IABP which cannot be weaned.
- 22 - Category 22 - Adult long-term VAD or total artificial heart (TAH) patient, agreed by CTAG (Heart) Adjudication Panel and evidence of agreement emailed to NHSBT, with one of the following complications:
- right ventricular failure dependent on inotropes
  - recurrent systemic infection related to VAD/TAH
  - other VAD/TAH issues including recurrent or refractory VAD/TAH thrombosis.
- 23 - Category 23 - Exceptionally sick adult patient - high risk of dying or having an irreversible complication but does not meet urgent listing criteria. Agreed by CTAG (Heart) Adjudication Panel and evidence of agreement emailed to NHSBT.
- 31 - Category 31 - Adult congenital heart disease (ACHD) arrhythmia patients - Refractory arrhythmia (>1 hospital admission over last 3 months with haemodynamic instability or associated with kidney or liver dysfunction).
- 32 - Category 32 - ACHD patients with no option for conventional escalation of therapy - Inpatients unsuitable for inotropes and/or VAD with one of the following:
- bilirubin and transaminases >2x normal
  - deteriorating renal function (eGFR <50ml/min/1.73m<sup>2</sup>, or 20% reduction from baseline)
  - requirement for dialysis/CVVH for fluid or electrolyte management
  - recurrent admissions (>3 in preceding 3 months) with episodes of right heart failure or protein losing enteropathy requiring ascites drainage.

### URGENT PAEDIATRIC PATIENTS

For any urgent listing there must be agreement between the two paediatric centres. This should involve the clinical leads or in their absence an appointed deputy. If there is disagreement this should be noted at the time of discussion with the chair of CTAG.

- 51 - Category 51 - Paediatric with short-term mechanical circulatory support device (MCSD): Mechanical circulatory support for acute haemodynamic decompensation using a short-term right, left or bi-ventricular device (including Berlin Heart), implanted as a specific bridge-to-transplantation. VA-ECMO not included; these patients qualify for super-urgent listing under Category 11.
- 52 - Category 52 - Paediatric with MCSD with device-related complications: Mechanical circulatory support with objective medical evidence of significant device-related complications such as thrombo-embolism, device infection, mechanical failure and/or life-threatening ventricular arrhythmias. Panel reactive antibody sensitisation does not qualify for urgent registration in this criterion.
- 55 - Category 55 - Paediatric >15kg on high-dose inotropes: Patients >15kg on continuous central infusion of a high dose intravenous inotrope.
- 56 - Category 56 - Paediatric ≤15kg on ventilation and inotropes: Patients ≤15kg who are ventilated and on inotropes.
- 59 - Category 59 - Paediatric, Other: Paediatric patients outside the criteria listed above, but for whom the patient's transplant physicians believe urgent listing is justified using acceptable medical criteria not included above. Approval for listing under this category is as follows:
- For paediatric patients whereby a maximum acceptable donor size has been specified to be <160 cm in height and <60kg in weight, their eligibility for registering under this category must be discussed and agreed by the other paediatric transplant centre and the CTAG Chair or deputy and evidence of agreement emailed to NHSBT.
  - For paediatric patients whereby a maximum acceptable donor size has been specified to be ≥160 cm in height or ≥60kg in weight, their eligibility for registering under this category must be discussed and agreed by the other paediatric transplant centre and the CTAG (Heart) Adjudication panel via the Chair or deputy and evidence of agreement emailed to NHSBT.

## Appendix II – super-urgent and urgent lung categories

---

### INDICATION FOR SUPER-URGENT LUNG REGISTRATION

---

- 91 - Category 91 - Patient supported with VV-ECMO as a bridge to transplant and previously registered on the Urgent Lung Allocation Scheme or the Non-urgent Lung Allocation Scheme.
- 92 - Category 92 - Patient supported with iLA as a bridge to transplant and previously registered on the Urgent Lung Allocation Scheme or the Non-urgent Lung Allocation Scheme.
- 

### INDICATION FOR URGENT LUNG REGISTRATION

---

A patient who is suitable for acceptance on the transplant waiting list and displays or develops any one of the following characteristics. Many transplant candidates fulfilling the criteria listed below will likely require ongoing inpatient treatment. In principle, urgent candidates *may remain ambulant* at home but will require close monitoring as deemed necessary by the local transplant team.

#### 1. COPD Patients

- 10 - Category 10 - Worsening hypoxia ( $\text{PaO}_2 < 7.5$  kPa) and hypercapnia ( $\text{PaCO}_2 > 6.5$  kPa) requiring increasing oxygen demand of  $> 10$  L/min despite continuous NIV.
- 11 - Category 11 - pH persistently  $< 7.30$  despite optimal continuous NIV.
- 12 - Category 12 - Refractory right heart failure despite all pharmacological interventions to support the right ventricle.

#### 2. CF Patients

- 21 - Category 21 - Worsening hypoxia ( $\text{PaO}_2 < 7.5$  kPa) and hypercapnia ( $\text{PaCO}_2 > 6.5$  kPa) requiring increasing oxygen demand of  $> 10$  L/min despite continuous NIV.
- 22 - Category 22 - pH persistently  $< 7.30$  despite optimal continuous NIV.
- 23 - Category 23 - Refractory right heart failure despite all pharmacological interventions to support the right ventricle.
- 24 - Category 24 - Ongoing episodes of massive haemoptysis despite bronchial embolisation.

#### 3. IPF Patients

- 31 - Category 31 - Persisting hypoxia ( $\text{PO}_2 < 8$  kPa) despite continuous  $\text{O}_2$  at 10 L/min.
- 32 - Category 32 - Refractory right heart failure despite all pharmacological interventions to support the right ventricle.

#### 4. PAH patients

- 41 - Category 41 - Worsening refractory right heart failure as defined by increasing fluid retention despite optimal medical management with disease modifying therapy and diuretics.
- 42 - Category 42 - Requirement for continuous IV inotropic support.
- 43 - Category 43 - Recent RHC  $\text{RAP} > 20$  mmHg and  $\text{CI} < 2.0$  L/min/m<sup>2</sup> despite optimisation of therapy. RHC data need to be recent, within 3 months of request to add to urgent list.
- 

### INDICATION FOR URGENT LUNG REGISTRATION (continued)

---

#### 5. Other Adult Patients

- 59 - Category 59 - Adult, Other: Adult patients outside the criteria listed above, but for whom the patient's transplant physicians believe urgent listing is justified using acceptable medical criteria not included above. Documentation of the reasons justifying assigning urgent status should be detailed and agreed by the Cardiothoracic Advisory Group (CTAG) Adjudication Panel.

#### 6. Other Paediatric Patients

- 69 - Category 69 - Paediatric, Other: Paediatric patients outside the criteria listed above, but for whom the patient's transplant physicians believe urgent listing is justified using acceptable medical criteria not included above. Documentation of the reasons justifying assigning urgent status should be detailed and agreed by the Chair of CTAG and a representative from each of the two paediatric centres.