



Detailed Full Report Actual and Potential Organ Donors 1 April 2016 - 31 March 2017

Midlands

Organ Donation Services Team



Table of Contents

1. Donor outcomes

1.1 Donors outcomes

2. Key rates on potential for organ donation

- 2.1 Key rates
- 2.2 Key numbers and rates

3. Stages where opportunities were lost

- 3.1 Överview of lost opportunities
- 3.2 Neurological death testing
- 3.3 Referral to SN-OD
- 3.4 Contraindications
- 3.5 Family approach
- 3.6 Proportion of approaches involving a SN-OD
- 3.7 Consent
- 3.8 Reasons why solid organ donation did not occur

4. PDA data by Trust

4.1 Key numbers and rates by Trust

5. Paediatric ICU data

5.1 Key rates for the Team's paediatric ICUs

Appendices

- A.1 Bar charts of key rates
- A.2 Definitions
- A.3 Data description
- A.4 Table and figure description

Further Information

- Appendix A.2 contains definitions of terms and abbreviations used throughout this report and summarises the main changes made to the PDA on 1 April 2013.
- The latest Organ Donation and Transplantation Activity Report is available at
- https://www.organdonation.nhs.uk/supporting-my-decision/statistics-about-organ-donation/transplant-activity-report/
- The latest PDA Annual Report is available at http://www.odt.nhs.uk/odt/potential-donor-audit/
- · Please refer any queries or requests for further information to your local Specialist Nurse Organ Donation (SN-OD)

Source

NHS Blood and Transplant: UK Transplant Registry (UKTR), Potential Donor Audit (PDA) and Referral Record. Issued May 2017 based on data reported at 8 May 2017.



1. Donor Outcomes

A summary of the number of donors, patients transplanted, average number of organs donated per donor and organs donated, obtained from the UK Transplant Registry


1.1 Donor outcomes

Between 1 April 2016 and 31 March 2017, the Midlands team had 157 deceased solid organ donors, resulting in 425 patients receiving a transplant. 531 organs were donated but 59 were not transplanted. Additional information is shown in Tables 1.1.1 and 1.1.2, along with comparison data for 2015/16. An additional chart showing figures for the previous ten periods has also been included for comparison. If you would like further information, please contact your local Specialist Nurse - Organ Donation (SN-OD).

1 April 2	2016 - 31 March	2017 (1 April	2015 - 31	March 201	6 for cor	npariso	n)
Donor type	Number o donors	f pat	iber of ients planted		e numbei nated per inds		
DBD DCD	85 (8 72 (7	,	()	3.9 2.8	(3.7) (2.9)	3.8 2.8	(3.9) (2.8)
DBD and DCD	157 (1 [`] 5	が 425	(423)	3.4	(3.4)	3.4	(3.4)

Table 1.1.2 Organs transplanted by type,1 April 2016 - 31 March 2017 (1 April 2015 - 31 March 2016 for comparison)												
Donor type Kidney			Panc			f organs /er	transpl Hea		y type Lui	ng	Sma	ll bowel
DBD DCD DBD and DCD	135 128 263	(152) (125) (277)	23 14 37	(21) (8) (29)	77 26 103	(71) (34) (105)	27 2 29	(21) (1) (22)	26 9 35	(19) (7) (26)	5 0 5	(0) (0) (0)

Figure 1.1.1 Number of donors and patients transplanted each year



Data in this section have been obtained from the UK Transplant Registry. Section 2 onwards reports on data obtained from the national Potential Donor Audit (PDA).




2. Key Rates on


Potential for Organ Donation

A summary of the key rates on the potential for organ donation, obtained from the national Potential Donor Audit (PDA)


2.1 Key rates


Two radar charts are displayed in Figure 2.1.1 showing specific percentage measures of potential donation activity in 2016/17 for the Midlands Team compared with national data for the UK, and compared with 2015/16 activity. This information is displayed in an alternative format as bar charts in Appendix A.1. The colour of the rate label indicates the Team performance as shown in the appropriate funnel plot using the gold, silver, bronze, amber, and red (GoSBAR) scheme.






Midlands, 2016/17 — UK, 2016/17 - - - Midlands, 2015/16







DCD



2.2 Key numbers, rates and comparison with national targets

The percentages shown in Figure 2.1.1 are also shown in Table 2.2.1 along with the number of patients at each stage. A national comparison and a time period comparison are again provided. A comparison against national DBD and DCD targets has been applied by highlighting the key rates for your Team as gold, silver, bronze, amber, or red. See Appendix A.4 for ranges used. Note that caution should be applied when interpreting percentages based on small numbers.

Table 2.2.1 Key numbers, rates and comparison with national targets,1 April 2016 - 31 March 2017 (1 April 2015 - 31 March 2016 for comparison)

		2016/17	DBD	2015	14.6		2016/17	DCD	2015/1	c
	Target	Midlands	UK	Midlands	UK	Target	Midlands	UK	Midlands	UK
Patients meeting organ donation referral criteria ¹		205	1,775	210	1,747		769	6,204	879	6,500
Referral rate %		A 93%	97%	95%	96%	5	8 81%	86%	72%	83%
Referred to SN-OD		191	1,728	200	1,684		622	5,308	637	5,402
Neurological death tested		159	1,522	165	1,477					
Testing rate %		A 78%	86%	79%	85%					
Eligible donors ²		150	1,444	158	1,404		572	4,237	593	4,205
Family approached		134	1,329	142	1,296	_	271	1,815	274	1,942
Approach rate %		B 89%	92%	90%	92%	s	47%	43%	46%	46%
Family approached and SN-OD involved		119	1,236	118	1,180	_	193	1,460	197	1,511
% of approaches where SN-OD involved		B 89%	93%	83%	91%	5	R 71%	80%	72%	78%
Consent ascertained		92	917	92	891	_	140	1,055	145	1,113
Consent rate %	72%	B 69%	69%	65%	69%	68% <mark>A</mark>	52%	58%	53%	57%
Expected consents based on ethnic mix		86		95			155		153	
Expected consent rate based on ethnic mix %		66%		67%			59%		58%	
Actual donors from each pathway		83	819	86	786		72	565	72	564
% of consented donors that became actual donors		90%	89%	93%	88%		51%	54%	50%	51%
Colour key - comparison with		G Gold		S Silver		1	B Bronze			
funnel plot confidence limits		A Amber		R Red						

¹ DBD - A patient with suspected neurological death

DCD - A patient in whom imminent death is anticipated, ie a patient receiving assisted ventilation, a clinical decision to withdraw treatment has been made and death is anticipated within 4 hours

² DBD - Death confirmed by neurological tests and no absolute contraindications to solid organ donation

DCD - Imminent death anticipated and treatment withdrawn with no absolute contraindications to solid organ donation



Stages Where Opportunities were Lost


Stages at which potential donors lost the opportunity to become actual donors

3.1 Overview of lost opportunities

Of the 205 potential DBD donors with suspected neurological death, 83 proceeded to donation and 122 did not proceed. Of the 572 eligible DCD donors, 72 proceeded to donation and 500 did not proceed.

Figure 3.1.1 gives an overview of the various stages where opportunities were lost. There are four charts showing DBD and DCD stages separately for the Midlands team and the UK, all of which contain a comparison with 2015/16. The number of potential donors is shown on the vertical axis for each chart and at each 'step' the proportion of potential donors lost at that stage is displayed. Caution should be applied when interpreting percentages based on small numbers. Further information is available for individual Trusts in Tables 4.1.1 and 4.1.2 in Section 4.

Figure 3.1.1 Stages at which potential donors lost the opportunity to become actual donors, 1 April 2016 - 31 March 2017 (1 April 2015 - 31 March 2016 for comparison)





3.2 Neurological death testing

A funnel plot of neurological death testing rates is displayed in Figure 3.2.1. The goal is to ensure that neurological death tests are performed wherever possible. For information about how to interpret the funnel plots, please see Appendix A.4.




Figure 3.2.1 Funnel plot of neurological death testing rates, 1 April 2016 - 31 March 2017

Table 3.2.1 shows the reasons why neurological death tests were not performed, if applicable, for your Team. Patients for whom the reason for not performing neurological tests is given as 'cardiac arrest despite resuscitation', 'brainstem reflexes returned', or 'neonates - less than 2 months post term' are now excluded from the calculation of the neurological death testing rate.

Table 3.2.1 Reasons given for neurological death tests not being performed, 1 April 2016 - 31 March 2017										
	Ν	%								
Family declined donation Family pressure not to test Treatment withdrawn Patient haemodynamically unstable Continuing effects of sedatives Biochemical/endocrine abnormality Inability to test all reflexes Clinical reason/Clinicians decision SN-OD advised that donor not suitable Unknown	8 1 20 2 3 4 5 1 1	17.4 2.2 43.5 4.3 6.5 8.7 10.9 2.2 2.2								
Total	46	100.0								
If 'other', please contact your local SN-OD for more information, if required.										



3.3 Referral to Specialist Nurse - Organ Donation (SN-OD)

Funnel plots of DBD and DCD referral rates are displayed in Figure 3.3.1. Every patient who meets the referral criteria should be identified and referred to the SN-OD, as per NICE CG135¹ and NHS Blood and Transplant (NHSBT) Best Practice Guidance on timely identification and referral of potential organ donors².




Figure 3.3.1 Funnel plots of referral rates, 1 April 2016 - 31 March 2017

Table 3.3.1 shows the reasons why patients were not referred to a SN-OD, if applicable, for your Team.

	[OBD	[DCD		
	Ν	%	Ν	%		
lot identified as a potential donor/organ donation not considered	2	14.3	43	29.3		
amily declined donation prior to neurological testing	1	7.1	2	1.4		
amily declined donation following decision to withdraw treatment	1	7.1	7	4.8		
Reluctance to approach family	2	14.3	1	0.7		
ledical contraindications	4	28.6	48	32.7		
hought to be medically unsuitable	3	21.4	28	19.0		
ressure on ICU beds	-	-	1	0.7		
Clinician assessed that patient was unlikely to become asystolic vithin 4 hours	-	-	1	0.7		
atient had previously expressed a wish not to donate	-	-	1	0.7		
Dther	1	7.1	15	10.2		

If 'other' or 'medical contraindications', please contact your local SN-OD for more information, if required. Please note that patients may appear in this table more than once if they met the referral criteria for both DBD and DCD donation.



Early referral to the SN-OD is important to enable the opportunity for donation to be maximised. Early referral triggers should be in place to ensure all donors are identified to the SN-OD to allow the family the option of organ donation. For patients who were referred, Table 3.3.2 shows the timing of the first contact with the SN-OD by the clinical staff. All patients meeting the referral criteria should be referred as early as possible to enable attendance of the SN-OD to assess suitability for donation and ensure that a planned approach for consent to the family is made in line with NICE CG135¹ and NHSBT Best Practice Guidance on approaching the families of potential organ donors³.

Table 3.3.2 Timing of first contact with a SN-OD by clinical staff, for patients who were referred,1 April 2016 - 31 March 2017

		DBD		DCD
	N	%	N	%
Before sedation stopped	15	7.7	38	6.1
Absence of one or more cranial nerve reflexes and GCS of 4 or less not explained by sedation	91	46.9	46	7.4
No sedation or after sedation stopped, decision made to carry out BSD tests, before 1st set of tests	56	28.9	7	1.1
After 1st set and before 2nd set of BSD tests	2	1.0	-	0.0
After neurological death confirmation	3	1.5	-	0.0
Clinical decision to withdraw life-sustaining treatment has been made, before treatment withdrawn	26	13.4	524	84.2
After treatment withdrawn	1	0.5	7	1.1
Not reported	-	0.0	-	0.0
Total	194	100.0	622	100.0
		- ·		

NB, 29 patients with suspected neurological death also went on to meet the referral criteria for DCD donation, and are therefore included twice.

¹ NICE, 2011. *NICE Clinical Guidelines - CG135* [online]. Available at: https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg135 [accessed 8 May 2017]

² NHS Blood and Transplant, 2012. *Timely Identification and Referral of Potential Organ Donors - A Strategy for Implementation of Best Practice* [online]. Available at: http://www.odt.nhs.uk/pdf/timely-identification-and-referral-potential-donors.pdf> [accessed 8 May 2017]

³ NHS Blood and Transplant, 2013. *Approaching the Families of Potential Organ Donors – Best Practice Guidance* [online]. Available at:



3.4 Contraindications

Г

Table 3.4.1 shows the primary absolute medical contraindications to solid organ donation, if applicable, for potential DBD donors confirmed dead by neurological death tests and potential DCD donors in your Team.

Table 3.4.1 Primary absolute medical contraindications to solid organ donation1 April 2016 - 31 March 2017	١,	
	DBD	DCD
Any cancer with evidence of spread outside affected organ (including lymph nodes) within 3 years	4	80
Melanoma (except completely excised Stage 1 cancers)	-	2
Active haematological malignancy (myeloma, lymphoma, leukaemia)	-	31
TB: active and untreated	1	4
HIV disease (but not HIV infection)	-	3
No transplantable organ in accordance with organ specific contraindications	-	31
Total	5	151



3.5 Family approach

Funnel plots of DBD and DCD family approach rates are displayed in Figure 3.5.1. All families of eligible donors should be formally approached for a decision about organ donation.




Figure 3.5.1 Funnel plots of approach rates, 1 April 2016 - 31 March 2017

Table 3.5.1 shows the reasons why patients were not formally approached to discuss organ donation, if applicable, for your Team.

	1	DBD	DCD		
	Ν	%	Ν	%	
Family stated that they would not support donation before they were formally approached	1	6.3	6	2.0	
Family untraceable	2	12.5	6	2.0	
Family considered too upset to approach	4	25.0	5	1.7	
Coroner / Procurator Fiscal refused permission	4	25.0	7	2.3	
Patient's general medical condition	4	25.0	96	31.9	
Other medical reason	-	-	41	13.6	
Pressure on ICU beds	-	-	5	1.7	
Other	1	6.3	92	30.6	
Not identified as a potential donor / organ donation not considered	-	-	42	14.0	
Patient had previously expressed a wish not to donate	-	-	1	0.3	
Total	16	100.0	301	100.0	



3.6 Proportion of approaches involving a SN-OD

In the UK, in 2016/17, when a SN-OD was not involved in the approach to the family for a decision about organ donation, DBD and DCD consent rates were 39% and 25%, respectively, compared with DBD and DCD consent rates of 71% and 66%, respectively, when a SN-OD was involved. NICE CG135¹ and NHSBT Best Practice Guidance on approaching the families of potential organ donors³ reinforces that every approach to those close to the patient should be planned with the multidisciplinary team (MDT), should involve the SN-OD and should be clearly planned taking into account the known wishes of the patient. The Organ Donor Register (ODR) should be checked in all cases of potential donation and this information must be discussed with the family as it represents the eligible donor's legal consent to donation.

Funnel plots of DBD and DCD SN-OD involvement rates are displayed in Figure 3.6.1. A SN-OD should be actively involved in the formal approach to the family and an approach plan made and followed.




Figure 3.6.1 Funnel plots of SN-OD involvement rates, 1 April 2016 - 31 March 2017



3.7 Consent

Funnel plots of DBD and DCD consent rates are displayed in Figure 3.7.1. The 2016/17 national targets of 72% and 68% for DBD and DCD, respectively, are also shown, for information.

Figure 3.7.1 Funnel plot of consent rates, 1 April 2016 - 31 March 2017




Table 3.7.1 shows the reasons why families did not support donation, if applicable, for your Team.

		DBD		DCD		
	Ν	%	Ν	%		
Patient previously expressed a wish not to donate	9	21.4	38	29.0		
amily were not sure whether the patient would have agreed to donation	9	21.4	19	14.5		
amily did not believe in donation	-	-	3	2.3		
amily felt it was against their religious/cultural beliefs	3 2	7.1	1	0.8		
amily were divided over the decision	2	4.8	4	3.1		
amily felt the patient had suffered enough	2 3	4.8	12	9.2		
amily did not want surgery to the body	3	7.1	10	7.6		
amily wanted to stay with the patient after death	-	-	2	1.5		
amily had difficulty understanding/accepting neurological testing	-	-	1	0.8		
amily felt the length of time for donation process was too long	2	4.8	18	13.7		
amily concerned that other people may disapprove/be offended	1	2.4	-			
amily felt the body needs to be buried whole (unrelated to religious or ultural reasons)	6	14.3	5	3.8		
amily concerned that organs may not be transplanted	-	-	1	0.8		
amilies concerned about organ allocation	-	-	1	0.8		
trong refusal - probing not appropriate	2 3	4.8	3	2.3		
Other	3	7.1	13	9.9		
otal	42	100.0	131	100.0		



3.8 Reasons why solid organ donation did not occur

Table 3.8.1 shows the reasons why solid organ donation did not occur, if applicable, for your Team.

		DBD	DCD		
	Ν	%	Ν	%	
Family changed mind	2	22.2	4	5.9	
Coroner/ Procurator Fiscal refusal	-	-	2	2.9	
Organs deemed medically unsuitable by recipient centres	5	55.6	22	32.4	
Prolonged time to asystole	-	-	28	41.2	
Cardiac arrest	1	11.1	-		
General instability	-	-	6	8.8	
Logistic reasons	-	-	1	1.5	
Other	1	11.1	5	7.4	
Total	9	100.0	68	100.0	



4. PDA data by Trust

A summary of key numbers and rates from the PDA by Trust

4.1 Key numbers and rates by Trust

Tables 4.1.1 and 4.1.2 show the key numbers and rates for patients who met the DBD and/or DCD referral criteria, respectively. Caution should be applied when interpreting percentages based on small numbers.

Table 4.1.1 Patients who met the DBD referral criteria - key numbers and rates, 1 April 2016 - 31 March 2017 (1 April 2015 - 31 March 2016 for comparison) Eligible DBD Patients donors (Death where Actual DBD neurological confirmed by and DCD Patients death was Patients neurological donors suspected confirmed tests and no Eligible DBD from where DBD DBD SN-OD Patients DBD DBD Neurological neurological that were dead by absolute donors whose eliaible DBD death was that were death testing referred to referral neurological contrafamily were approach Consent consent involvement suspected tested rate (%) SN-OD rate (%) testing indications) approached rate (%) ascertained rate (%) donors rate (%) 1 April 2016 to 31 March 2017 Birmingham Children's Hospital NHS Foundation Trust Burton Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust Derby Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust George Eliot Hospital NHS Trust -Heart of England NHS Foundation Trust Nottingham University Hospitals NHS Trust Sandwell and West Birmingham Hospitals NHS Trust Sherwood Forest Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust Shrewsbury and Telford Hospital NHS Trust South Warwickshire NHS Foundation Trust The Dudley Group Of Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust The Royal Wolverhampton Hospitals NHS Trust University Hospitals Birmingham NHS Foundation Trust University Hospitals Coventry and Warwickshire NHS Trust University Hospitals Of Leicester NHS Trust University Hospitals Of North Midlands NHS Trust Walsall Healthcare NHS Trust



Table 4.1.1Patients who met the DBD referral criteria - key numbers and rates,1 April 2016 - 31 March 2017 (1 April 2015 - 31 March 2016 for comparison)

Patients where neurological death was suspected 2	Patients that were tested 1	Neurological death testing rate (%) 50	Patients where neurological death was suspected that were referred to SN-OD 2	DBD referral rate (%) 100	Patients confirmed dead by neurological testing 1	Eligible DBD donors (Death confirmed by neurological tests and no absolute contra- indications) 1	Eligible DBD donors whose family were approached 1	DBD approach rate (%) 100	Consent ascertained 1	DBD consent rate (%) 100	Actual DBD and DCD donors from eligible DBD donors 1	DBD SN-OD involvement rate (%) 100
Worcestershin	e Acute Hos	spitals NHS Tru 100	ıst 4	100	4	4	4	100	1	25	1	75
	-	2016 (for com			-	-	-	100	ľ	25	ľ	73
Birmingham C 8	Children's Ho 5	ospital NHS Fou 63	Indation Trust 5	63	5	4	3	75	3	100	3	67
Burton Hospita 3	als NHS Fou 2	undation Trust 67	3	100	2	2	2	100	1	50	1	50
Derby Hospita 4	als NHS Fou 1	Indation Trust 25	4	100	1	1	1	100	0	0	0	100
George Eliot H 1	Hospital NHS 1	S <i>Trust</i> 100	1	100	1	1	1	100	1	100	1	100
Heart of Engla 12	and NHS Fol 11	undation Trust 92	12	100	11	11	9	82	7	78	5	89
Nottingham U 39	niversity Ho 27	spitals NHS Tru 69	ust 37	95	27	27	27	100	15	56	15	96
Sandwell and 16	West Birmin 10	gham Hospitals 63	s NHS Trust 15	94	9	9	7	78	2	29	2	86
Sherwood For 3	est Hospital 0	s NHS Foundat 0	tion Trust 3	100	0	0	0	-	0	-	0	-
Shrewsbury an 14	nd Telford H 14	lospital NHS Tri 100	ust 14	100	13	12	12	100	9	75	8	83
South Warwic 3	kshire NHS 3	Foundation Tru 100	st 3	100	3	3	3	100	3	100	3	100
The Dudley G 2	roup Of Hos 2	pitals NHS Fou 100	Indation Trust 2	100	2	2	2	100	0	0	0	50
The Royal Wo 12	olverhamptor 9	n Hospitals NH 75	S Trust 12	100	9	8	6	75	1	17	1	67
University Ho. 33	spitals Birmi 32	ngham NHS Fo 97	oundation Trust 33	t 100	32	31	27	87	20	74	18	74
University Ho. 16	spitals Cove 12	ntry and Warwi 75	ickshire NHS 7 16	Trust 100	12	12	11	92	9	82	9	82
University Ho. 14	spitals Of Le 11	eicester NHS Ti 79	rust 13	93	11	11	9	82	7	78	6	100
University Ho 20	spitals Of No 17	orth Midlands N 85	IHS Trust 17	85	17	17	16	94	10	63	10	94
Walsall Health 5	hcare NHS 1 3	Trust 60	5	100	3	2	2	100	2	100	2	0
Worcestershin 5	e Acute Hos	spitals NHS Tru 100	ıst 5	100	5	5	4	80	2	50	2	50



Table 4.1.2 Patients who met the DCD referral criteria - key numbers and rates,1 April 2016 - 31 March 2017 (1 April 2015 - 31 March 2016 for comparison)

Patients for whom imminent Patients for death was whom imminent anticipated that death was were referred D anticipated to SN-OD		Patients for whom eatment was withdrawn	Eligible DCD donors (Imminent death anticipated and treatment withdrawn with no absolute contra- indications)	Eligible DCD donors whose family were approached	DCD approach rate (%)	Consent ascertained	DCD consent rate (%)	Actual DCD donors from eligible DCD donors	DCD SN-OD involvement rate (%)
1 April 2016 to 31 March 2017									
Birmingham Children's Hospital N 7 6	HS Foundation 86	Trust 7	7	5	71	3	60	3	80
Burton Hospitals NHS Foundation 10 7	Trust 70	10	8	5	63	3	60	3	60
Derby Hospitals NHS Foundation 27 13	Trust 48	27	22	11	50	5	45	1	64
George Eliot Hospital NHS Trust 6 5	83	5	4	0	0	0	-	0	-
Heart of England NHS Foundation 63 57	Trust 90	62	48	20	42	7	35	4	70
Nottingham University Hospitals N 130 108	IHS Trust 83	125	100	57	57	27	47	14	60
Sandwell and West Birmingham H 59 51	lospitals NHS 86	Trust 59	47	7	15	1	14	0	100
Sherwood Forest Hospitals NHS F 26 24	Foundation Trus 92	st 26	23	12	52	8	67	3	83
Shrewsbury and Telford Hospital N 29 23	NHS Trust 79	20	15	5	33	4	80	2	80
South Warwickshire NHS Foundat 12 10	tion Trust 83	7	5	2	40	0	0	0	0
The Dudley Group Of Hospitals N 15 9	HS Foundation 60	Trust 13	11	6	55	4	67	2	83
The Royal Wolverhampton Hospit 43 43	als NHS Trust 100	39	30	18	60	8	44	5	78
University Hospitals Birmingham 1 76 66	NHS Foundatic 87	n Trust 68	43	28	65	13	46	6	68
University Hospitals Coventry and 48 33	l Warwickshire 69	NHS Trust 46	40	20	50	11	55	5	70
University Hospitals Of Leicester 41 38	NHS Trust 93	36	34	19	56	9	47	4	84
University Hospitals Of North Midi 146 109			114	45	39	31	69	18	73
Walsall Healthcare NHS Trust	89	7	6	40	67	2	50	1	75
Worcestershire Acute Hospitals N	IHS Trust								
22 12 1 April 2015 to 31 March 2016 (fc	55 or comparison	21 purposes)	15	7	47	4	57	1	86
Birmingham Children's Hospital N	-								
22 10	45	20	10	6	60	1	17	0	67
Burton Hospitals NHS Foundation 6 4	Trust 67	6	6	3	50	1	33	0	67
Derby Hospitals NHS Foundation 43 32	Trust 74	43	29	21	72	16	76	11	67
George Eliot Hospital NHS Trust									



Table 4.1.2Patients who met the DCD referral criteria - key numbers and rates,1 April 2016 - 31 March 2017 (1 April 2015 - 31 March 2016 for comparison)

Patients for whom imminent death was anticipated 16	Patients for whom imminent death was anticipated that were referred to SN-OD 13	DCD referral rate (%) 81	Patients for whom treatment was withdrawn 13	Eligible DCD donors (Imminent death anticipated and treatment withdrawn with no absolute contra- indications) 6	Eligible DCD donors whose family were approached 3	DCD approach rate (%) 50	Consent ascertained 2	DCD consent rate (%) 67	Actual DCD donors from eligible DCD donors 1	DCD SN-OD involvement rate (%) 67
Heart of Englan										
54	23	43	54	43	12	28	3	25	1	67
Nottingham Uni 127	iversity Hospitals 97	s NHS Trust 76	125	92	56	61	33	59	17	89
Sandwell and W 88	/est Birmingham 70	n Hospitals NH 80	S <i>Trust</i> 81	66	17	26	7	41	4	59
Sherwood Fores 20	st Hospitals NHS 17	S Foundation T 85	rust 19	17	10	59	4	40	1	80
Shrewsbury and 35	d Telford Hospita 35	al NHS Trust 100	29	24	9	38	6	67	2	56
South Warwicks 13	shire NHS Foun 8	dation Trust 62	13	5	2	40	2	100	1	100
The Dudley Gro 16	oup Of Hospitals 12	NHS Foundati 75	on Trust 16	13	3	23	3	100	0	67
The Royal Wolv 35	erhampton Hos 25	pitals NHS Tru 71	ist 33	29	5	17	2	40	0	80
University Hosp 102	oitals Birminghar 77	n NHS Founda 75	ation Trust 86	40	18	45	7	39	5	72
University Hosp 50	oitals Coventry a 42	and Warwickshi 84	ire NHS Trust 48	35	23	66	14	61	7	70
University Hosp 77	oitals Of Leiceste 46	er NHS Trust 60	77	47	20	43	5	25	3	50
University Hosp 127	oitals Of North N 96	lidlands NHS 7 76	Trust 127	97	47	48	28	60	15	72
Walsall Healthc 18	are NHS Trust 10	56	18	10	5	50	1	20	0	60
Worcestershire 30	Acute Hospitals 20	s NHS Trust 67	27	24	14	58	10	71	4	71

Tables 4.1.1 and 4.1.2 show the unit where the patient died. However, it is acknowledged that there are some occasions where a patient is referred in an Emergency Department but moves to a critical care unit. In total, for the Midlands team in 2016/17 there were 66 such patients.

It is acknowledged that the PDA does not capture all activity. In total there were 79 patients referred in 2016/17 who are not included in Section 2 onwards because they were either over 80 years of age or did not die in a unit participating in the PDA. None of these are included in Section 1 because they did not become a solid organ donor.



5. Paediatric ICU data

A summary of key rates from the PDA for Paediatric ICUs

5.1 PICU data

The UK average rates for paediatric ICUs are displayed on the radar charts along with the rates achieved by the paediatric ICUs covered by your Team. A comparison is also provided for the equivalent period last year. Caution should be applied when interpreting percentages based on small numbers. Note that neonatal ICUs have not been included.






Figure 5.1.2 Key rates on the potential for organ donation, 1 April 2013 - 31 March 2017







52

Consent

DCD

47

Approach

71


SN-OD


inv.

Appendices


Appendix A.1 Bar charts of key rates

Figure A.1.1 shows the same information as the radar charts in Section 2 but in an alternative format. The bars show the latest rates for your Team. Purple lines have been superimposed to provide a comparison with the UK and turquoise dashed lines show the rates achieved by your Team in the equivalent period last year. The colour of the rate label indicates the Team performance as shown in the appropriate funnel plot using the gold, silver, bronze, amber, and red (GoSBAR) scheme.











Appendix A.2 Definitions

Data excluded	Patients who did not die on a critical care unit or an emergency department and patients aged over 80 years are excluded.				
Donors after brain death (DBD)					
Suspected Neurological Death	A patient who meets all of the following criteria: Apnoea, coma from known aetiology and unresponsive, ventilated, fixed pupils. Excluding those not tested due to reasons 'cardiac arrest despite resuscitation', 'brainstem reflexes returned', 'neonates - less than 2 months post term'.				
Potential DBD donor	A patient who meets all four criteria for neurological death testing excluding those not tested due to reasons 'cardiac arrest despite resuscitation', 'brainstem reflexes returned', 'neonates – less than 2 months post term' (ie suspected neurological death, as defined above).				
DBD referral criteria	A patient with suspected neurological death				
Discussed with Specialist Nurse – Organ Donation	A patient with suspected neurological death discussed with the Specialist Nurse – Organ Donation (SN-OD)				
Neurological death tested	Neurological death tests were performed				
Eligible DBD donor	A patient confirmed dead by neurological death tests, with no absolute medical contraindications to solid organ donation				
Absolute contraindications	Absolute medical contraindications to organ donation are listed here: http://www.odt.nhs.uk/pdf/contraindications_to_organ_donation.pdf				
Family approached for formal organ donation discussion	Family of eligible DBD asked to: support the patient's expressed or deemed consent/authorisation decision, informed of a nominated/appointed representative, make a decision themselves on donation, or informed of a patient's op-out decision view the Organ Donor Register				
Consent / authorisation ascertained	Family supported expressed or deemed consent/authorisation, nominated/appointed representative gave consent, or where applicable the family gave consent/authorisation				
Actual donors: DBD	Neurological death confirmed patients who became actual DBD as reported through the PDA				
Actual donors: DCD	Neurological death confirmed patients who became actual DCD as reported through the PDA				
Neurological death testing rate	Percentage of patients for whom neurological death was suspected who were tested				
Referral rate	Percentage of patients for whom neurological death was suspected who were discussed with the SN-OD				
Approach rate	Percentage of eligible DBD families or nominated/appointed representatives approached for formal organ donation discussion				
Consent / authorisation rate	Percentage of families or nominated/appointed representatives approached for forma organ donation discussion where consent/authorisation was ascertained				
Expected consent / authorisation rate	Consent / authorisation rate adjusted for ethnicity case mix (white or BAME (black, asian and minority ethnic)), based on those patients whose family or nominated/appointed representative were approached to discuss organ donation where consent/authorisation was ascertained and patient ethnicity was known				
SN-OD involvement rate	Percentage of family or nominated/appointed representative approaches where a SN-OD was involved				
SN-OD consent / authorisation rate	Percentage of families or nominated/appointed representatives approached for formal organ donation discussion by a SN-OD where consented / authorisation for organ donation was ascertained				



Donors after circulatory death (DCI)
Imminent death anticipated	A patient, not confirmed dead using neurological criteria, receiving assisted ventilation, a clinical decision to withdraw treatment has been made and death is anticipated within 4 hours
DCD referral criteria	A patient in whom imminent death is anticipated (as defined above)
Discussed with Specialist Nurse – Organ Donation	Patients for whom imminent death was anticipated who were discussed with the SN-OD
Potential DCD donor	A patient who had treatment withdrawn and death was anticipated within four hours
Eligible DCD donor	A patient who had treatment withdrawn and death was anticipated within four hours, with no absolute medical contraindications to solid organ donation
Absolute contraindications	Absolute medical contraindications to organ donation are listed here: http://www.odt.nhs.uk/pdf/contraindications_to_organ_donation.pdf
Family approached for formal organ donation discussion	Family of eligible DCD asked to: support the patient's expressed or deemed consent/authorisation decision, informed of a nominated/appointed representative, make a decision themselves on donation, or informed of a patient's op-out decision via the Organ Donor Register
Consent / authorisation ascertained	Family supported expressed or deemed consent/authorisation, nominated/appointed representative gave consent, or where applicable the family gave consent/authorisation
Actual DCD	DCD patients who became actual DCD as reported through the PDA
Referral rate	Percentage of patients for whom imminent death was anticipated who were discussed with the SN-OD
Approach rate	Percentage of eligible DCD families or nominated/appointed representatives approached for formal organ donation discussion
Consent / authorisation rate	Percentage of families or nominated/appointed representatives approached for formal organ donation discussion where consent/authorisation was ascertained
Expected consent / authorisation rate	Consent / authorisation rate adjusted for ethnicity case mix (white or BAME (black, asian and minority ethnic)), based on those patients whose family or nominated/appointed representative were approached to discuss organ donation where consent/authorisation was ascertained and patient ethnicity was known
SN-OD involvement rate	Percentage of family or nominated/appointed representative approaches where a SN-OD was involved
SN-OD consent / authorisation rate	Percentage of families or nominated/appointed representatives approached for formal organ donation discussion by a SN-OD where consented / authorisation for organ donation was ascertained
UK Transplant Registry (UKTR)	
Donor type	Type of donor: Donation after brain death (DBD) or donation after circulatory death (DCD)
Number of actual donors	Total number of donors reported to the UKTR
Number of patients transplanted	Total number of patients transplanted from these donors
Organs per donor	Number of organs donated divided by number of donors. The maximum number of solid organs that can be donated are 7 for a DBD and 6 for a DCD.
Number of organs transplanted	Total number of organs transplanted by organ type

On 1 April 2013 significant changes were made to the PDA. The main changes that should be borne in mind, especially when making comparisons across time periods, are as follows:

- Upper age limit increased from 75 to 80 years.
- Cardiothoracic ICUs included.
- Changes to imminent death definition to be clear that death was anticipated within four hours.
- Contraindications brought in line with current practice.
- Terminology changes, eg 'potential donor' changed to 'eligible donor', for consistency with World Health Organisation definitions.



Appendix A.3 Data description

This report provides a summary of data relating to potential and actual organ donors as recorded by NHS Blood and Transplant via the Potential Donor Audit (PDA), the accompanying Referral Record and the UK Transplant Registry for the Midlands Team. The report covers the time period 1 April 2016 to 31 March 2017 and data from 1 April 2015 to 31 March 2016 are also provided in certain sections for comparison purposes.

As part of the PDA, patients aged over 80 years of age and those who did not die on a critical care unit or an emergency department are not audited nationally and are therefore excluded from the majority of this report. Data from neonatal Intensive Care Units have also been excluded from this report. In addition, some information from this time period may be outstanding due to late reporting and difficulties obtaining patient notes. Donations not captured by the PDA will still be included in the data supplied from the accompanying Referral Record or from the UK Transplant Registry, as appropriate.

Some percentages in this report were calculated using small numbers and should therefore be interpreted with caution.

Please refer any queries or requests for further information to your local Specialist Nurse - Organ Donation (SN-OD)



Appendix A.4 Table and figure description

Each table and figure displayed throughout the report is described below to aid interpretation.

1.1 Donor outc Table 1.1.1	omes The number of actual donors, the resulting number of patients transplanted and the average number of organs donated per donor have been obtained from the UK Transplant Registry (UKTR) for your Team. Results have been displayed separately for donors after brain death (DBD) and donors after circulatory death (DCD).
Table 1.1.2	The number of organs transplanted by type from donors within your Team has been obtained from the UKTR. Further information can be obtained from your local Specialist Nurse – Organ Donation (SN-OD), specifically regarding organs that were not transplanted. Results have been displayed separately for DBD and DCD.
Figure 1.1.1	The number of actual donors and the resulting number of patients transplanted obtained from the UKTR for your Trust/Board for the past 10 equivalent time periods are presented on a line chart.
2.1 Key rates Figure 2.1.1	Radar charts are displayed showing specific percentage measures of potential donation activity for your Team compared with national data for the UK, and compared with an equivalent time period from the previous financial year, using data from the Potential Donor Audit (PDA). The DBD charts show the percentage of patients tested for neurological death, and all four charts also show the referral rates, approach rates, proportion of approaches involving a SN-OD and observed consent/authorisation rates. Appendix A.2 gives a fuller explanation of terms used. The blue shaded area represents your Team, and the national rates are superimposed as a solid purple line for comparison. The equivalent period from the previous year is superimposed as a dashed turquoise line. The fuller the blue shaded area the better. Note that 0% and 'not applicable (N/A)' rates appear the same. The rates have therefore been displayed on the spokes of the radar charts. The rates are coloured using the gold, silver, bronze, amber, and red (GoSBAR) colour scheme to show the performance of one Trust/Board as reflected in the plots (see description in figure 3.2.1 below) Note that caution should be applied when interpreting percentages based on small numbers and when comparing time periods.
Figure 2.1.2	Specific percentage measures of DBD and DCD potential donation activity for your Trust/Board are presented for the last three years, using data from the PDA. Appendix A.4 gives a fuller explanation of the terms used.
2.2 Key numbe Table 2.2.1	A summary of DBD and DCD data and key rates have been obtained from the PDA. A national comparison and a time period comparison are provided. Note that caution should be applied when interpreting percentages based on small numbers and comparing time periods. Appendix A.2 gives a fuller explanation of terms used. The key rates are highlighted using the gold, silver, bronze, amber, and red (GoSBAR) colour scheme to show the performance of the Trust/Board as reflected in the funnel plots (see description for figure 3.2.1 below) National consent rate targets specific to the financial year are displayed throughout Section 3.
3.1 Overview of Figure 3.1.1	of lost opportunities The stages at which potential donors lose the opportunity to become actual donors have been obtained from the PDA. There are four charts showing the DBD and DCD stages separately for your Team and the UK, all of which contain a comparison against an equivalent period from the previous financial year. The number of potential donors is shown on the vertical axis for each chart and at each 'step' the proportion of potential donors lost at that stage is displayed. Caution should be applied when interpreting percentages based on small numbers and comparing time periods.
3.2 Neurologica Figure 3.2.1	A funnel plot of the neurological death testing rate is displayed using data obtained from the PDA. Each Trust/Board is represented on the plot as a blue dot, although one dot may represent more than one Trust/Board. Trusts/Boards within your Team are shown on the plot as large black crosses. The national rate is shown on the plot as a pink horizontal dashed line, together with 95% and 99.8% confidence limits for this rate. These limits form a 'funnel', shaded using a gold, silver, bronze, amber, and red (GoSBAR) colour scheme. Graphs obtained in this way are known as funnel plots. If a Trust/Board lies within the 95% limits, shaded bronze, then that Trust/Board has a rate that is statistically consistent with the national rate. If a Trust/Board lies outside the 95% confidence limits, shaded silver or amber, this serves as an alert that the Trust/Board may have a rate that is significantly different from the national rate. When a Trust/Board lies above the upper 99.8% limit, shaded gold, this indicates a rate that is significantly higher than the national rate, while a Trust/Board that lies below the lower limit, shaded red, has a rate that is significantly lower than the national rate. It is important to note that differences in patient mix have not been accounted for in these plots. The funnel plots can also be used to identify the maximum rates currently being achieved by Trusts/Boards with similar donor potential.
Table 3.2.1	The reasons given for neurological death tests not being performed have been obtained from the PDA, if applicable.



3.3 Referral to S Figure 3.3.1 Table 3.3.1 Table 3.3.2	Specialist Nurse - Organ Donation Funnel plots of DBD and DCD referral rates are displayed using data obtained from the PDA. See description for Figure 3.2.1 above. The reasons for not referring the patient to the SN-OD have been obtained from the PDA, if applicable. For patients who were referred, the timings of the first contact with the SN-OD by clinical staff have been obtained from the PDA.			
	oblained from the FDA.			
3.4 Contraindica Table 3.4.1	tions The primary absolute medical contraindications to solid organ donation have been obtained from the PDA, if applicable.			
3.5 Family appr Figure 3.5.1	Funnel plots of DBD and DCD approach rates are displayed using data obtained from the PDA. See			
Table 3.5.1	description for Figure 3.2.1 above. The reasons why families were not formally approached for a decision about solid organ donation have obtained from the PDA, if applicable.			
3.6 Proportion o Figure 3.6.1	of approaches involving a SN-OD Funnel plots of DBD and DCD SN-OD involvement rates are displayed using data obtained from the PDA. See description for Figure 3.2.1 above.			
3.7 Consent Figure 3.7.1	Funnel plots of DBD and DCD consent/authorisation rates are displayed using data obtained from the PDA. See description for Figure 3.2.1 above. In addition the national consent/authorisation target rate is shown in			
Table 3.7.1	green. The reasons why families did not give consent/authorisation for solid organ donation have been obtained from the PDA, if applicable.			
3.8 Reasons wh Table 3.8.1	y solid organ donation did not occur The reasons why solid organ donation did not occur have been obtained from the PDA, if applicable.			
4.1 Key number Table 4.1.1	s and rates by Trusts/Boards within your Team DBD key numbers and rates by Trusts/Boards covered by your Team have been obtained from the PDA. Data for the current time period are included, along with an equivalent comparison period from the previous year.			
	If the Trusts/Boards are not equivalent for the two time periods, this is due to Trust/Board changes, and/or there were no patients for whom neurological death was suspected or imminent death was anticipated in one of the time periods.			
	Caution should be applied when interpreting percentages based on small numbers and comparing time periods.			
Table 4.1.2	DCD key numbers and rates by unit where the patient died have been obtained from the PDA. See description for Table 4.1.1 above.			
5.1 PICU data				
Figure 5.1.1	Radar charts have been used to display the DBD and DCD key rates from the PDA for paediatric ICUs. The UK average rates for paediatric ICUs are displayed along with the rates achieved by paediatric ICUs covered by your Team. A comparison is also provided for the equivalent period from the previous year. See description for Figure 2.1.1 above.			
	Caution should be applied when interpreting percentages based on small numbers and comparing time periods.			
Figure 5.1.2	Specific percentage measures of DBD and DCD potential paediatric donation activity for your Team are presented for the last three years, using data from the PDA.			
	Appendix A.4 gives a fuller explanation of the terms used. Note that caution should be applied when interpreting percentages based on small numbers and comparing time periods.			
Appendix A.1 Bar charts of key rates				
Figure A.1.1	Bar charts have been used to display the DBD and DCD key rates from the PDA. This is an alternative way			
	of displaying the information in Figure 2.1.1. The percentages for your Team in the latest time period are displayed on each bar. Note that caution should			
Figure A.1.2	be applied when interpreting percentages based on small numbers and comparing time periods. Bar charts have been used to display DBD and DCD key rates for paediatric data. See description for Figure			
	A.1.1 above. Note that caution should be applied when interpreting percentages based on small numbers.			